

## On the Ring of Continuous Functions with Countable Values and Compact Support

Z. Alamneshan<sup>1</sup>, M. Namdari<sup>1</sup> and S. Soltanpour<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz,  
 Ahvaz, Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Science, Petroleum University of Technology, Ahvaz,  
 Iran.

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we investigate the structure of  $C_{cK}(X)$ , the set of all functions  $f \in C_c(X)$  whose support, defined as  $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus Z(f))$ , is compact. We study  $C_{cK}(X)$  as an ideal of  $C_c(X)$  and characterize its closure in the topological ring  $C_{cm}(X)$  as the intersection of all maximal ideals containing it. Additionally, we introduce the space  $X_{cL}$  and examine its relationship with  $C_{cK}(X)$ , particularly in connection with the purity and projectivity of the ideal. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for  $C_{cK}(X)$  to be a pure or projective  $C_c(X)$ -module. Moreover, we show that  $C_c(X)$  is a pp-ring if and only if the space  $X$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected. Finally, we prove that  $C_{cK}(X)$  is a pure ideal and that  $X_{cL}$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected if and only if every principal ideal  $(f)$ , with  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ , is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module.

**Keywords:** Projective, pure, pp-ring,  $c$ -basically disconnected.

*2010 Mathematics subject classification:* 54C40, 54C30; Secondary: 13C11, 16H20.

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: [namdari@ipm.ac.ir](mailto:namdari@ipm.ac.ir)

Received: 17 August 2025

Revised: 30 September 2025

Accepted: 13 October 2025

**How to Cite:** Naderi, Mehrdad; Soltanpour, Somayeh; Alamneshan, Zarha. On the Ring of Continuous Functions with Countable Values and Compact Support. Casp.J. Math. Sci., **15**(1)(2026), 78-92.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.



Copyright © 2026 by University of Mazandaran. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution(CC BY) license(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A central theme in the study of rings of continuous functions has been regarded as the examination of how topological properties of a space  $X$  are reflected in the algebraic structure of  $C(X)$ . In this context, attention has been directed toward the subring  $C_c(X)$ , consisting of all continuous functions on  $X$  with countable image, as well as other related subrings, see [4], [5]. It has been established that  $C_c(X)$  retains many features of  $C(X)$ , while exhibiting distinct characteristics of its own. Specifically, the role of  $z$ -ideals in  $C(X)$  has been shown to have a precise analogue in  $C_c(X)$ . Moreover, it has been demonstrated that each  $C_c(X)$  is isomorphic to  $C_c(Y)$  for some zero-dimensional space  $Y$ , thereby permitting the reduction of problems to the zero-dimensional setting without loss of generality. This parallels the classical result that  $C(X)$  is isomorphic to  $C(Y)$  for some completely regular space  $Y$ . Furthermore, several properties absent in the ring  $C^*(X)$  of bounded continuous functions have been verified to hold within  $C_c(X)$ . Although  $C_c(X)$  is not algebraically defined, it has been observed, analogously to  $C^*(X)$ , to be preserved under isomorphism: if  $C(X) \cong C(Y)$ , then both  $C_c(X) \cong C_c(Y)$  and  $C^F(X) \cong C^F(Y)$  follow, where  $C^F(X)$  denotes the subring of  $C(X)$  consisting of functions with finite image. This preservation arises from the fact that for any ring homomorphism  $\varphi : C(X) \rightarrow C(Y)$ , one has  $\text{Im}(\varphi(f)) \subseteq \text{Im}(f)$  (see the discussion following [4, Corollary 3.5]). It should also be recalled that both  $C_c(X)$  and  $C^F(X)$  are algebraically closed in  $C(X)$  ([4, Proposition 3.1]). In addition,  $C_c(X)$  has been recognized as an algebraic subring of  $C(X)$ , since it contains all constant functions and satisfies the property that  $f^2 \in C_c(X)$  implies  $f \in C_c(X)$  for each  $f \in C(X)$ . It is also noteworthy that  $C^F(X)$  forms a regular ring and constitutes the smallest algebraic subring of  $C(X)$  ([6, 16.29]; [2, Proposition 2.1]). In summary,  $C_c(X)$  has been acknowledged as more than a mere replica of  $C(X)$ . From the results presented in [5], [4], [7], [8], [15], [10], and [2], the fundamental properties of  $C_c(X)$  may be systematically learned. Furthermore, it has been perceived that  $C_c(X)$  and certain locally related constructions, such as  $L_c(X)$  ([8]) and  $L_{cc}(X)$  ([10]), serve purposes comparable to those of  $C(X)$  in many contexts of study. A significant observation is that the Stone–Čech compactification  $\beta X$ , commonly identified with  $\text{Max}(C(X))$ , represents the space of maximal ideals of  $C(X)$  equipped with the Zariski topology. In a similar vein, the Banaschewski compactification  $\beta_0 X$ —as described in [14, Sec. 4.7]—corresponds to  $\text{Max}(C_c(X))$ , the space of maximal ideals of  $C_c(X)$  under the Zariski topology, as discussed in [2, Remarks 3.6, 3.7]. The support of a function  $f \in C(X)$  is defined as the closure of the set  $X \setminus Z(f)$ . The subring

$C_K(X)$  is given by  $C_K(X) = \{f \in C(X) : \text{the support of } f \text{ is compact}\}$ . The equivalence between  $C_K(X)$  and the intersection of all free maximal ideals in  $C(X)$ —where an ideal  $I$  is said to be *free* if  $\bigcap Z[I] = \emptyset$ , and otherwise *fixed*—was first established by Kaplansky for discrete spaces. Kaplansky also raised the question of whether this equivalence holds more generally. Subsequently, Kohls extended the result to  $P$ -spaces, and further generalizations were obtained using the notion of the *socle* in [9]. Recent work in [16] has characterized the topological spaces for which  $C_K(X)$  equals the intersection of free maximal ideals. In particular, for *pseudo-finite* spaces—those in which every compact subspace is finite—it was shown in [9] that the socle of  $C(X)$  coincides with  $C_K(X)$ . In analogy with Kaplansky’s original question, the general equivalence between  $C_K(X)$  and the socle of  $C(X)$  was also posed in [9]. Let  $C_\infty(X)$  denote the ideal of  $C^*(X)$  consisting of functions  $f$  that vanish at infinity, i.e., for every  $n > 0$ , the set  $\{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$  is compact [6, 7F]. Azarpanah introduced the notation  $C_F(X)$  for the socle of  $C(X)$ , and showed that  $C_K(X) = C_F(X)$  (respectively,  $C_\infty(X) = C_F(X)$ ) if and only if  $X$  is *pseudo-discrete*, meaning that every compact subspace of  $X$  has finite interior (respectively,  $X$  is pseudo-discrete with only finitely many isolated points). It is clear that  $C_K(X) \subseteq C_\infty(X)$ . The ideal  $C_K(X)$  represents the intersection of all free ideals in both  $C(X)$  and  $C^*(X)$ , while  $C_\infty(X)$  corresponds to the intersection of free maximal ideals in  $C^*(X)$  [6, 7F]. Moreover, both  $C_K(X)$  and  $C_\infty(X)$  can be expressed as intersections of essential ideals. However, it is known that the intersection of essential ideals in  $C(X)$  may be trivial, particularly when  $X$  contains no isolated points. This leads to a natural question: under what conditions do intersections such as  $C_K(X)$  or  $C_\infty(X)$  remain essential? This problem is investigated further in [1]. We define  $C_{cK}(X)$  as the set of all functions  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that the closure  $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus Z(f))$  is compact. In this paper, we study  $C_{cK}(X)$  as an ideal of  $C_c(X)$ , focusing on both its algebraic and topological characteristics. In particular, we examine its behavior in the topological ring  $C_{c_m}(X)$ , where  $C_c(X)$  is endowed with the  $m_c$ -topology. We prove that the closure of  $C_{cK}(X)$  in  $C_{c_m}(X)$  coincides with the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $C_{c_m}(X)$  containing  $C_{cK}(X)$ . To gain deeper insight into the structure of  $C_{cK}(X)$ , we introduce the subspace  $X_{cL}$  of  $X$  and investigate its connection with  $C_{cK}(X)$ . We provide necessary and sufficient conditions for  $C_{cK}(X)$  to be a pure ideal in  $C_c(X)$ , especially in the cases where  $X$  is compact or  $C$ -pseudocompact. Assuming that  $C_{cK}(X)$  is pure, we show that for every ideal  $I \subseteq C_{cK}(X)$ , the set  $X \setminus Z_c(I)$  is contained in  $X_{cL}$ . We also characterize the conditions under which  $C_{cK}(X)$  becomes a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module. Moreover, we demonstrate that  $C_c(X)$  is a pp-ring

if and only if the space  $X$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected. Finally, we establish that  $X_{cL}$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected and that  $C_{cK}(X)$  is pure if and only if, for every  $f \in C_c(X)$ , the principal ideal  $(f)$  is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module.

## 2. $C_{cK}(X)$

We denote by  $C_{cK}(X)$  the set of all functions in  $C_c(X)$  with compact support, that is,

$$C_{cK}(X) = \{f \in C_c(X) : \text{Supp}(f) = \text{cl}_X(X \setminus Z(f)) \text{ is compact}\}.$$

In what follows, we study  $C_{cK}(X)$  as an ideal of  $C_c(X)$ .

Recall that a topological space  $X$  is called locally compact if every point  $x \in X$  has a neighborhood whose closure is compact. Similarly,  $X$  is said to be nowhere locally compact if no point of  $X$  has a compact neighborhood. Equivalently,  $X$  is nowhere locally compact if and only if for each  $x \in X$  and any neighborhoods  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $\bar{U}$  is not compact.

The following lemma corresponds to [18, Lemma 4.4], and its proof follows exactly the same reasoning as in that result. We include it here since it will be used in the proof of the Theorem 2.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** *An ideal  $I$  of  $C_c(X)$  (or  $C_c^*(X)$ ) is a free ideal if and only if for every compact subset  $A \subseteq X$  there exists  $f \in I$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in A$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff, zero-dimensional, and countable completely regular space. Then the following hold:*

1. *The set  $C_{cK}(X)$  is an ideal of  $C_c^*(X) = C_c(X) \cap C^*(X)$ .*
2. *If  $X$  is compact, then  $C_{cK}(X) = C_c(X)$ .*
3. *The set  $C_{cK}(X)$  is a free ideal if and only if  $X$  is locally compact and non-compact.*
4. *The set  $C_{cK}(X)$  is contained in every free ideal of  $C_c(X)$  (or  $C_c^*(X)$ ).*
5. *The space  $X$  is nowhere locally compact if and only if  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ ; equivalently, this occurs precisely when the remainder  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  is dense in  $\beta_0 X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Then

$$f(X) \setminus \{0\} = f(X \setminus Z(f)) \subseteq f(\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))).$$

Since  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))$  is compact and  $f$  is continuous, it follows that  $f(\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f)))$  is compact and hence closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $f(X) \setminus \{0\}$  is compact, and therefore  $f(X)$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $f \in C_c(X)$ , and so  $C_{cK}(X) \subseteq C_c(X)$ .

Now, let  $g \in C_c^*(X)$  and  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Then

$$\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(gf)) = \text{cl}((X \setminus Z(g)) \cap (X \setminus Z(f))).$$

Since  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))$  is compact and the right-hand side is a closed subset of it, we conclude that  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(gf))$  is compact. Hence  $gf \in C_{cK}(X)$ .

(2) Suppose  $X$  is compact. For every  $f \in C_c(X)$ , the set  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))$  is closed in  $X$ , hence compact. Thus  $C_{cK}(X) = C_c(X)$ .

(3) Assume  $C_{cK}(X)$  is a free ideal. Then by Lemma 2.1, there exists  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . This implies that  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is dense in  $X$  and contained in the compact set  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))$ , showing that  $X$  is locally compact. Moreover,  $X$  cannot be compact, since otherwise  $C_{cK}(X) = C_c(X)$ , contradicting the assumption that  $C_{cK}(X)$  is a proper free ideal.

Conversely, assume  $X$  is locally compact but not compact. By part (1),  $C_{cK}(X)$  is an ideal. To show it is free, take any compact set  $A \subseteq X$ . Since  $X$  is locally compact, each  $x \in A$  has a compact neighborhood. Using the complete regularity and countability assumptions, there exists  $f \in C_c(X)$  with  $A \subseteq X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq N$ , where  $N$  is compact. Hence  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f)) \subseteq N$  is compact, so  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$  and  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . Thus  $C_{cK}(X)$  is free.

(4) Let  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$  and  $I$  be a free ideal of  $C_c(X)$ . By Lemma 2.1, there exists  $g \in I$  that does not vanish on  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))$ , i.e.,

$$\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f)) \subseteq X \setminus Z(g).$$

Thus  $Z(g) \subseteq \text{int } Z(f)$ . By [6, Problem 1D.1], this implies that  $f$  is a multiple of  $g$ , so  $f \in I$ . A similar argument works in  $C_c^*(X)$ .

(5) If  $X$  is nowhere locally compact, then for every  $f \in C_c(X)$  the set  $\text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f))$  is non-compact. Hence no nonzero  $f$  can belong to  $C_{cK}(X)$ , so  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ . Conversely, if  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ , then no nonzero function has compact support, which means  $X$  is nowhere locally compact. The equivalent characterization follows from the fact that  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  is dense in  $\beta_0 X$  exactly in this case.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose that  $f \in C_c(X)$  is such that  $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$  is a neighbourhood of  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$ , then  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus Z(f))$  is closed in  $\beta_0 X$  and hence compact. Since  $Z(f)$  is closed in  $X$ , we infer that  $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f) \cap (X \setminus Z(f)) \neq \emptyset$ . By hypothesis, there exists an open set  $U$  in  $\beta_0 X$  such that  $\beta_0 X \setminus U \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$ . Hence  $U \cap (X \setminus Z(f)) \neq \emptyset$ , which further implies because  $U$  is open in  $\beta_0 X$  that  $U \cap \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X}(X \setminus Z(f)) \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently  $U \cap \text{cl}(X \setminus Z(f)) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\beta_0 X \setminus X \subseteq U$ , we infer that no point of  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  is a limit point of  $\text{cl}_X(X \setminus Z(f))$  in the space  $\beta_0 X$ . Thus there

does not exist any limiting point of  $cl_X(X \setminus Z(f))$  outside it in the entire space  $\beta_0 X$ . Hence  $cl_X(X \setminus Z(f))$  is closed in  $\beta_0 X$ .  $\square$

We recall that for every zero-dimensional space  $X$ , the maximal ideals of  $C_c(X)$  are precisely of the following form:

$$M_c^p = \{f \in C_c(X) : p \in cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)\}, \quad (p \in \beta_0 X).$$

Moreover, for each  $p \in \beta_0 X$ , we recall that

$$O_c^p = \{f \in C_c(X) : p \in \text{int}_{\beta_0 X} cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)\}.$$

For additional properties and related results, see [2].

**Remark 2.4.**  $C_{cK}(X) \subseteq \bigcap \{O_c^p : p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X\}$ . This follows from Theorem 2.2, which states that  $C_{cK}(X)$  is contained in every free ideal of  $C_c(X)$  ( $C_c^*(X)$ ). Moreover, since for each  $p \in \beta_0 X$ , the ideal  $O_c^p$  is free, the claim follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be zero-dimensional and Hausdorff. Then*

$$C_{cK}(X) = \bigcap \{O_c^p : p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in O_c^p$  for each  $p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X$ . Then  $cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$  is a neighbourhood of each point of  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  in the space  $\beta_0 X$ . It follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Thus  $\bigcap \{O_c^p : p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X\} \subset C_{cK}(X)$ . The reversed implication relation is already realized in Remark 2.4. Hence  $C_{cK}(X) = \bigcap \{O_c^p : p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *The ideal  $C_{cK}(X)$  is the intersection of all free ideals in  $C_c(X)$ , that is, in  $C_c^*(X)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2(4), if  $E$  denotes the family of all free ideals in  $C_c(X)$  (i.e.,  $C_c^*(X)$ ), then

$$C_{cK}(X) \subseteq \bigcap E.$$

Moreover, if  $p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X$ , then  $O_c^p$  is a free ideal. Hence,

$$\bigcap E \subseteq \bigcap \{O_c^p : p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X\}.$$

Now, by Theorem 2.5, it follows that

$$C_{cK}(X) = \bigcap E.$$

$\square$

Let us recall that  $\mathcal{U}_c^+(X) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}^+(X) : u \in C_c(X)\}$ . The  $m_c$ -topology on  $C_c(X)$  is defined by taking the subset of the form

$$\mathcal{B}_c(f, u) = \{g \in C_c(X) : |f(x) - g(x)| < u(x), \quad \forall x \in X\},$$

as a base for a neighborhood system at  $f$ , for each  $f \in C_c(X)$  and  $u \in \mathcal{U}_c^+(X)$ . The set  $C_c(X)$  endowed with the  $m_c$ -topology is denoted by  $C_{cm}(X)$ , see [11], [17].

Similar to [6] and [19], it can be shown that the closure of an ideal  $I \subseteq C_{cm}(X)$  is precisely the intersection of all maximal ideals containing  $I$ . Consequently, an ideal of  $C_{cm}(X)$  is closed if and only if it is the intersection of maximal ideals. Therefore, every maximal ideal in  $C_c(X)$  is closed with respect to the  $m_c$ -topology.

We characterize the closure of  $C_{cK}(X)$  in  $C_{cm}(X)$  as the intersection of all maximal ideals containing it.

**Theorem 2.7.**  $cl_m(C_{cK}(X)) = \bigcap_{p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X} M_c^p$ .

*Proof.*  $C_{cK}(X) = \bigcap_{p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X} O_c^p$ , so  $C_{cK}(X) \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X} M_c^p$ . Since every maximal ideal of  $C_{cm}(X)$  is closed, we infer that the intersection of maximal ideals is closed. Therefore  $cl_m(C_{cK}(X)) \subseteq \bigcap_{p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X} M_c^p$ . Now, we suppose that  $f \in \bigcap_{p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X} M_c^p$ , then  $\beta_0 X \setminus X \subseteq cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(f)$ . We must prove that  $B_c(f, u) \cap C_{cK}(X) \neq \emptyset$  for  $u \in \mathcal{U}_c^+(X)$ . For this purpose, we define the following function:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) + \frac{u(x)}{2} & , \quad f(x) \leq \frac{-u(x)}{2} \\ 0 & , \quad |f(x)| \leq \frac{u(x)}{2} \\ f(x) - \frac{u(x)}{2} & , \quad f(x) \geq \frac{u(x)}{2} \end{cases}$$

It is evident that  $g \in C_c(X)$ . we set  $H := \{x \in X : |f(x)| \geq \frac{u(x)}{2}\}$ , then  $H$  is a zero-set in  $X$ . Suppose that  $h \in C_c(X)$  such that  $H = Z(h)$  and we show that  $Z(f) \subseteq X \setminus Z(h) \subseteq Z(g)$ . For this main, we suppose that  $f(x) = 0$ , so  $x \in Z(f)$ . Hence  $f(x) = 0 < \frac{u(x)}{2}$ , and therefore  $x \in X \setminus Z(h)$ . Also, if  $x \in X \setminus Z(h)$ , then  $|f(x)| < \frac{u(x)}{2}$ , so  $x \in Z(g)$ . Hence,  $cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(g)$  is a zero-set and  $\beta_0 X \setminus X \subseteq cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(f) \subseteq int_{\beta_0 X} cl_{\beta_0 X} Z(g)$ . thus, from the other side, for any  $g \in \bigcap_{p \in \beta_0 X \setminus X} O_c^p = C_{cK}(X)$ . That is,  $|f(X) - g(X)| < u(X)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Which means  $g \in B_c(f, u)$ . So  $|f - g| < u$ , and therefore  $g \in B_c(f, u) \cap C_{cK}(X)$ .  $\square$

### 3. PURITY OF THE IDEAL $C_{cK}(X)$

In this section, we introduce the space  $X_{cL}$ , examine its relationship with  $C_{cK}(X)$ , and conclude by stating the conditions under which  $C_{cK}(X)$  is pure.

**Definition 3.1.** If  $I$  is an ideal of  $C_c(X)$ , then

$$X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} X \setminus Z(f).$$

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be zero-dimension.  $X_{cL}$  is the set of all point in  $X$  having compact neighborhood.

**Remark 3.3.**  $X$  is locally compact if and only if  $X = X_{cL}$ . Also if  $X_{cL} = \emptyset$ , then  $X$  is nowhere locally compact.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $X$  be countable completely regular, then

$$X_{cL} = X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}(X))$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}(X))$ . There exists an element  $f$  in  $C_{cK}(X)$  exists such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ , which imply that  $\overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$  is compact and  $X \setminus Z(f)$ , and so  $\overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$  is a compact neighborhood of  $x$ . But we know  $x \in X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq \overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$ , given that  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is an open set containing  $x$ , so  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is a compact neighborhood of  $x$ . Therefore  $x \in X_{cL}$ . Contrariwise, we prove  $X_{cL} \subseteq X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}(X))$ . Suppose  $x \in X_{cL}$ . By definition space  $X_{cL}$ ,  $x$  has a compact neighborhood like  $U$  which  $x \in \text{int } U$  and since  $X$  is countable completely regular, there exists  $f \in C_c(X)$  such that  $x \in X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq U$ . Since  $U$  is compact, we infer that  $U$  is close. So  $x \in X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq \overline{X \setminus Z(f)} \subseteq \overline{U} = U$ . Therefore  $\overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$  is a subset compact of  $U$ . So  $\overline{X \setminus Z(f)}$  is compact and  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Therefore  $X_{cL} = X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}(X))$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** Throughout this paper for every  $f \in C(X)$  we set  $f^* := -1 \vee (f \wedge 1)$ . Also, the continuous extension of  $f^*$  over  $\beta_0 X$  is called closure of  $f$  and we write  $\bar{f} = (f^*)^{\beta_0}$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** The following statements are true for any zero-dimension space  $X$ .

1.  $X_{cL} = \text{int}_{\beta_0} X$ , which is an open subset of  $X$  and of  $\beta_0 X$ .
2.  $X_{cL}$  is locally compact subset of  $X$ .
3. For each  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ ,  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is an open subset of  $\beta_0 X$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $x \in \text{int}_{\beta_0} X$ , then there exists an open set  $U$  of  $\beta_0 X$  such that  $x \in X \subseteq U$ . Regularity of  $\beta_0 X$  implies that there exists an open set  $V$  of  $\beta_0 X$  such that  $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} V \subseteq U$ . Hence,  $\text{cl}_{\beta_0 X} V$  is compact neighborhood of  $x$  and so  $x \in X_{cL}$ .

Part(2) from the first part, it easily follows.

(3)  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is open in  $X$  and  $(X \setminus Z(f)) \cap X_{cL} = X \setminus Z(f)$ . so  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is open in  $X_{cL}$  and by part (2),  $X_{cL}$  is open in  $\beta_0 X$ . therefore  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is open in  $X$  and  $\beta_0 X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.7.**  $X_{cL} = \emptyset$ , if and only if  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  be dense in  $\beta_0 X$ , if and only if  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ .



*Proof.* By Proposition 3.6,  $X_{cL} = \text{int}_{\beta_0 X} X$  and  $\beta_0 X \setminus X_{cL} = \beta_0 X \setminus \text{int}_{\beta_0 X} X$ . Therefore,  $\beta_0 X \setminus X_{cL} = \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X}(\beta_0 X \setminus X)$ . So  $X_{cL} = \emptyset$  if and only if  $\beta_0 X = \text{cl}_{\beta_0 X}(\beta_0 X \setminus X)$  if and only if  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  be dense in  $\beta_0 X$ . Now, we show  $\beta_0 X \setminus X$  is dense in  $\beta_0 X$  if and only if  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $X_{cL} = \emptyset$  and By Lemma 3.4,  $X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}(X)) = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{f \in C_{cK}(X)} X \setminus Z(f) = \emptyset$  and we have  $X \setminus Z(f) = \emptyset$ , for each  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . So  $X \setminus Z(f) = \emptyset$ ; that is  $Z(f) = X$ . So  $f = 0$  and  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ . For the converse, if  $C_{cK}(X) = \{0\}$ , then  $X_{cL} = X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}(X)) = X \setminus Z_c(\{0\}) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $X_{cL} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PURITY OF THE IDEAL $C_{cK}(X)$ IN COMPACT SPACE AND $C$ -PESUDOCOMPACT SPACE

We recall from [6] that an ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring  $R$  is called pure if for each  $a \in I$ , there exists  $b \in I$  such that  $a = ab$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *If  $I$  be a pure ideal in  $C_c(X)$ , then*

$$X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f).$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} X \setminus Z(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f)$ . Conversely, suppose  $f \in I$ . Since  $I$  is pure, we infer that there exists  $g \in I$  such that  $f = fg$  and  $g|_{\text{Supp}(f)} = 1$ . So for each  $f \in I$ ,  $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq X \setminus Z(g)$ . Hence

$$\bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{g \in I} X \setminus Z(g) = X \setminus Z_c(I).$$

Therefore,  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f)$ .  $\square$

The following theorem is the counterpart of [13, Theorem 2.3], and its proof follows essentially the same line of reasoning. For the sake of clarity and completeness, we provide the full argument here.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $I$  be a  $Z_c$ -ideal including  $C_{cK}(X)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I$  is pure.
- (2)  $I = O_c^{\beta_0 X \setminus (X \setminus Z_c(I))}$ .
- (3)  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose  $I$  be a pure, in this case  $I = O_c^A$  such that  $A = \bigcap Z(\bar{f})$ , see [12]. So  $\beta_0 X \setminus A = \bigcup_{f \in I} \beta_0 X \setminus Z(\bar{f})$ . Since  $X \subseteq \beta_0 X$ , we infer that  $(\beta_0 X \setminus Z(f)) \cap X = \beta_0 X \cap (X \setminus Z(f)) \cap X = X \setminus Z(f)$ . So  $\beta_0 X \setminus A = \bigcup_{f \in I} \beta_0 X \setminus [Z(f) \cup (\beta_0 X \setminus X)] = \bigcup_{f \in I} (\beta_0 X \setminus Z(f)) \cap X = \bigcup_{f \in I} X \setminus Z(f)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). By Corollary 3.6,  $X \setminus Z(f)$  is an open subset of  $\beta_0 X$ . So  $\beta_0 X \setminus (X \setminus Z_c(I))$  is a closed subset of  $\beta_0 X$ . So  $I$  is a pure. Then by Lemma 4.1 we have  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $g \in I$ . Then

$$\text{Supp}(g) \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f) = X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} X \setminus Z(f).$$

Since  $\text{Supp}(g)$  is compact, we infer that  $\text{Supp}(g) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n X \setminus Z(f_i)$  for each  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in I$ . Suppose  $h = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2$ . So  $h \in I$  and  $X \setminus Z(h) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X \setminus Z(f_i)$ . Let  $k \in C_c(X)$  such that  $k(\text{Supp}(g)) = 1$  and  $k(Z(h)) = 0$ . Then  $g = gh$  and  $Z(h) \subset Z(k)$ . Since  $I$  is  $Z_c$ -ideal, we infer that  $k \in I$  and  $I$  is a pure ideal.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $C_{cK}(X)$  be a pure ideal. Then  $X \setminus Z_c(I)$  is proper subset  $X_{cL}$  for each proper ideal  $I$  of  $C_{cK}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the case with the help of reverse proof. Suppose  $I$  be an ideal of  $C_{cK}(X)$  such that  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = X_{cL}$ . Also let  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Hence by purity ideal  $I$  and Lemma 4.1,  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f)$ . So  $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq X_{cL} = X \setminus Z_c(I)$  and therefore  $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n X \setminus Z(f_i)$  for each  $f_i \in I$ . Now suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i^2 = g$ . Since  $f_i \in I$  for each  $i$ , we infer that  $g \in I$  and

$$X \setminus Z(g) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X \setminus Z(f_i).$$

Now, we define function  $h(x)$ ;

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f}{g} & , x \in X \setminus Z(g) \\ 0 & , \text{in other points.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq X \setminus Z(g)$ ,  $h \in C_c(X)$  and  $f = gh$ , we infer that  $I = C_{cK}(X)$ . That it contradicts the assumption.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $C_{cK}(X)$  and  $C_{cK}(Y)$  be pure ideals. If  $X_{cL}$  is homeomorphic to  $Y_{cL}$ , then  $C_{cK}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $C_{cK}(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi : X_{cL} \rightarrow Y_{cL}$  be a homeomorphism. For  $f \in C_{cK}(Y)$ , define  $f_1 = f|_{Y_{cL}}$ . Since  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the composition

$$f_1 \circ \varphi : X_{cL} \longrightarrow Y_{cL} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

belongs to  $C_c(X_{cL})$ . By Lemma 3.4, we know

$$X_{cL} = X \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}), \quad Y_{cL} = Y \setminus Z_c(C_{cK}).$$

If  $f \in C_{cK}(Y)$ , then  $Y \setminus Z(f) \subseteq Y_{cL}$ . Since  $f_1 = f|_{Y_{cL}}$ , it follows that

$$Y \setminus Z(f) = Y \setminus Z(f_1).$$

We claim that

$$Y \setminus Z(f) = \varphi[X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)].$$

Indeed, let  $x \in X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)$ . Then  $(f_1 \circ \varphi)(x) \neq 0$ , hence  $(f \circ \varphi)(x) \neq 0$  and so  $\varphi(x) \in Y \setminus Z(f)$ . Thus

$$\varphi[X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)] \subseteq Y \setminus Z(f).$$

Conversely, if  $y \in Y \setminus Z(f)$ , then  $f(y) \neq 0$ . Since  $y \in Y_{cL}$  and  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism, there exists  $x \in X_{cL}$  with  $y = \varphi(x)$ . As  $f_1(y) \neq 0$ , we get  $(f_1 \circ \varphi)(x) \neq 0$ , hence  $x \in X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)$ . Therefore

$$Y \setminus Z(f) \subseteq \varphi[X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)].$$

This proves the claim, and consequently

$$\varphi^{-1}[Y \setminus Z(f)] = X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi).$$

Taking closures in  $X_{cL}$  yields

$$\text{cl}_{X_{cL}}(X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)) = \varphi^{-1}(\text{Supp}(f)),$$

since  $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq Y_{cL}$  by the purity of  $C_{cK}(Y)$ .

Now, define  $g_f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g_f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1 \circ \varphi(x) & , x \in X_{cL}, \\ 0 & , x \in X \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\text{Supp}(f)). \end{cases}$$

Since  $f_1 \circ \varphi(x)$  is continuous by Theorem 7.6 in [20], we infer that  $g_f$  is continuous. Also, since  $f_1 \in C_{cK}(Y)$ , i.e.,  $|f_1(Y)| \leq \aleph_0$  and  $\text{cl}_{Y_{cL}}(Y \setminus Z(f_1))$  is compact, it follows that

$$|(f_1 \circ \varphi)(X_{cL})| \leq |f_1(Y)| \leq \aleph_0,$$

and

$$X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi) = \varphi^{-1}(Y \setminus Z(f_1)) \subseteq X \setminus Z(f_1).$$

Hence,  $g_f \in C_{cK}(X)$ , because every closed subset of a compact space is compact. Therefore, the support of  $f$ ,

$$\text{Supp}(f) = \text{cl}_{X_{cL}}(X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi)),$$

is compact. Define

$$\bar{\varphi} : C_{cK}(Y) \rightarrow C_{cK}(X), \quad \bar{\varphi}(f) = g_f.$$

Since  $\varphi : X_{cL} \rightarrow Y_{cL}$  is a homeomorphism, we infer that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a ring homomorphism. *Injectivity.* Suppose  $\bar{\varphi}(f) = 0$ . Then  $f_1 \circ \varphi(x) = 0$  for every  $x \in X_{cL}$ , which means  $X \setminus Z(f_1 \circ \varphi) = \varphi^{-1}(Y \setminus Z(f)) = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f = 0$ . Thus  $\bar{\varphi}$  is injective.

*Surjectivity.* Let  $h \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Define  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} h \circ \varphi^{-1}(y) & , y \in Y_{cL}, \\ 0 & , y \in Y \setminus \varphi(\text{Supp}(h)). \end{cases}$$

Then  $g \in C_{cK}(Y)$ , since  $\varphi(\text{Supp}(h))$  is compact and  $\text{Supp}(h) \subseteq X_{cL}$  by purity. Moreover,

$$\bar{\varphi}(g)(x) = \begin{cases} (g \circ \varphi)(x) & , x \in X_{cL}, \\ 0 & , x \in X \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\text{Supp}(g)), \end{cases} = h(x).$$

Thus  $\bar{\varphi}(g) = h$ , so  $\bar{\varphi}$  is surjective.

Therefore  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a ring isomorphism, and hence  $C_{cK}(X) \cong C_{cK}(Y)$ .  $\square$

We emphasize that the proof of the following result proceeds in exactly the same manner as the proof of its analogue in  $C(X)$ ; see [3, Theorem 3.4].

**Theorem 4.5.** *The principal ideal  $(f)$  is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module if and only if,  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is open.*

We recall that a topological space  $X$  is said to be  $c$ -basically disconnected if for every function  $f \in C_c(X)$ , the support  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is an open subset of  $X$ .

A commutative ring is called a pp-ring if every principal ideal is a projective module.

**Theorem 4.6.**  *$C_c(X)$  is a pp-ring if and only if,  $X$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C_c(X)$  is a pp-ring. Then every principal ideal of  $C_c(X)$  is projective. Therefore, by Theorem 4.5, the support  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is open for each  $f \in C_c(X)$ , which is equivalent to  $X$  being  $c$ -basically disconnected. This completes the proof, which is also recursive in nature.  $\square$

The following facts are the counterparts of [13, Theorem 4.5, Corollary 4.6, and Corollary 4.7], and their proofs follow exactly the same arguments as in those results. Nevertheless, we provide a proof here for the sake of completeness.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $I$  be a pure ideal containing  $C_{cK}(X)$ . Then  $X \setminus Z_c(I)$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected if and only if every principal ideal of  $I$  is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $Y = X \setminus Z_c(I)$  and  $Y$  be  $c$ -basically disconnected and  $f \in I$ . Since  $I$  is pure, we infer that by Lemma 4.1,  $X \setminus Z_c(I) = \bigcup_{f \in I} \text{Supp}(f)$ . So  $\text{Supp}(f) \subseteq Y$ . We consider  $f_1 = f|_Y$ . Hence  $cl_Y(Y \setminus$

$Z(f_1)) = \text{Supp}(f)$ . Which according to the assumption  $Y$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected. So  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is open in  $Y$  and  $X$ . Therefore according to Theorem 4.5, ideal  $(f)$  is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module.

For the converse, Suppose each principal ideal of  $I$  is  $C_c(X)$ -projective module. First we show for every  $f \in C_{cK}(Y)$ ,  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is clopen then using it for every  $f \in C_c(Y)$ ,  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is clopen point. Let  $f_1 \in C_{cK}(Y)$  and define

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} f_1(x) & , x \in cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1)) \\ 0 & , x \in X \setminus (Y \setminus Z(f_1)). \end{cases}$$

Since  $f$  is  $Z_c$ -ideal and  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is compact set containing  $Y$ , we infer that  $f \in I$ . So  $(f)$  is a principal ideal of  $I$ . Hence

$$cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1)) = \text{Supp}(f)$$

is clopen. Now, suppose  $a \in cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(k)) \subseteq Y$  and  $k \in C_c(Y)$ . The open set  $U$  exists such that  $\bar{U}$  is compact and  $a \in U \subseteq \bar{U} \subseteq Y$ . Since  $X$  is quite regular, we infer that  $f \in C_c(X)$  exists such that  $f(a) = 1$  and  $f(X \setminus U) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is compact and containing  $Y$ , we infer that  $f \in I$ . We know  $(Y \setminus Z(f_1)) \subseteq cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1))$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} a \in cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1)) \cap cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(k)) &\subseteq cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1)) \cap cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(k)) \\ &= cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(h)) \cap (Y \setminus Z(k)) \\ &= cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1 k)) \subseteq cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(k)). \end{aligned}$$

But  $cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(f_1 k))$  is compact.  $f_1 k \in C_{cK}(X)$ , so it is clopen. Hence  $cl_Y(Y \setminus Z(k))$  is clopen in  $Y$ . So  $Y = X \setminus Z_c(I)$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.8.** *The space  $X_{cL}$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected, and  $C_{cK}(X)$  is pure if and only if, for every  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ , the principal ideal  $(f)$  is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is clopen. Let  $g$  denote the characteristic function of  $\text{Supp}(f)$ . Then  $g \in C_{cK}(X)$  and clearly  $f = fg$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_c(X)$  is a pp-ring.
- (2)  $X$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected.
- (3) Every principal ideal of  $C_{cK}(X)$  is a projective  $C_c(X)$ -module.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $C_c(X)$  is a pp-ring, then every principal ideal is projective. By definition, this implies that  $X$  is  $c$ -basically disconnected, i.e.,  $\text{Supp}(f)$  is open for each  $f \in C_{cK}(X)$ . Hence, the argument follows as in Theorem 4.5.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). These implications follow directly from the definitions.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions. Also the authors are grateful to the Research Council of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz for financial support (GN: SCU.MM1404.393).

## REFERENCES

1. C. Aull (Ed.), Rings of Continuous Functions, *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, Marcel Dekker, New York, (1985).
2. F. Azarpanah, O. A. S. Karamzadeh, Z. Keshtkar, and A. R. Olfati, On maximal ideals of  $C_c(X)$  and the uniformity of its localizations, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **48** (2018), 345–384.
3. J. G. Brookshear, Projective Ideals in Rings of Continuous Functions, *Pac. J. Math.* **71** (1977), 313–333.
4. M. Ghadermazi, O. A. S. Karamzadeh, and M. Namdari,  $C(X)$  versus its functionally countable subalgebra, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.* **45** (2019), no. 1, 173–187.
5. M. Ghadermazi, O. A. S. Karamzadeh, and M. Namdari, On the functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ , *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **129** (2013), 47–69.
6. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, *Van Nostrand, New York*, (1960).
7. O. A. S. Karamzadeh and Z. Keshtkar, On  $c$ -realcompact spaces, *Quaest. Math.* **41** (2018), no. 8, 1135–1167.
8. O. A. S. Karamzadeh, M. Namdari, and S. Soltanpour, On the locally functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ , *Appl. Gen. Topol.* **16** (2015), no. 2, 183–207.
9. O. A. S. Karamzadeh and M. Rostami, On the intrinsic topology and some related ideals of  $C(X)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1985), no. 1, 179–184.
10. R. Mehri and R. Mohamadian, On the locally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$  whose local domain is cocountable, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **46** (2017), no. 6, 1053–1068.
11. R. Mohamadian, M. Namdari, H. Najafian, and S. Soltanpour, A note on  $C_c(X)$  via a topological ring, *J. Algebr. Syst.* **10** (2023), no. 2, 323–334.
12. M. A. Natsheh and H. Al-Ezeh, Characterization of Pure Ideals in  $C(X)$ , *J. Fac. Sci. U.A.E. Univ.* **3** (1991), 17–23.
13. E. A. Abu Osba and H. Al-Ezeh, Purity of the ideal of continuous functions with compact support, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **41** (1999), 111–120.
14. J. R. Porter and R. G. Woods, Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces, *Springer-Verlag, New York*, (1988).
15. S. Soltanpour, On the locally socle of whose local cozero set is cocountable (cofinite), *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **48** (2019), 1430–1436.
16. A. Taherifar, On a question of Kaplansky, *Topology Appl.* **232** (2017), 98–101.
17. A. Veisi, On the  $m_c$ -topology on the functionally countable subalgebra of  $C(X)$ , *J. Algebr. Syst.* **9** (2022), no. 2, 335–345.

18. L. P. Su, Algebraic Properties of Certain Rings of Continuous Functions, *Pac. J. Math.* **41** (1968), no. 1, 207–222.
19. T. Shiota, On ideals in rings of continuous functions, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **30** (1954), 85–89.
20. S. Willard, General Topology, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., *Reading, MA*, (1970).