Caspian Journal of Mathematical Sciences (CJMS) University of Mazandaran, Iran <http://cjms.journals.umz.ac.ir> <https://doi.org/10.22080/cjms.2024.27625.1713>

Caspian J Math Sci. **13**(2)(2024), 319-330 (Research Article)

# **Finite difference and local discontinuous Galerkin methods for fourth-order time-fractional partial integro-differential equation: Computational approach for one-dimensional case**

Gholamreza Karamali <sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup> and Hadi Mohammadi Firouzjaei <sup>1</sup> and Jaber Mirzaei<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Basic Sciences, Shahid Sattari Aeronautical University of Sciences and Technology, South Mehrabad, Tehran, Iran

ABSTRACT. Our focus in this paper is on numerically solving fourthorder time-fractional integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernels. L1 and quadrature formulas are used to discretize the temporal and memory terms. For spatial discretization, a highorder local discontinuous Galerkin method is employed. Finally, the numerical optimal convergence rate for the proposed scheme is demonstrated by the use of numerical results.

Keywords: L1 formula, Quadrature formula, Local discontinuous Galerkin method, Fourth-order PIDEs, Memory term.

*2000 Mathematics subject classification:* 65M60, 45K05.

319

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: rezakaramali918@gmail.com

Received: 19 August 2024 Revised: 21 October 2024

Accepted: 03 November 2024 **How to Cite:** Karamali, Gholamreza; Mirzaei, Jaber. Finite difference and local discontinuous Galerkin methods for fourth-order time-fractional partial integro-differential equation: Computational approach for onedimensional case, Casp.J. Math. Sci.,**13**(2)(2024), 319-330.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Copyright © 2024 by University of Mazandaran. Subbmited for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution(CC BY) license(https://craetivecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

## 1. Introduction

Partial differential equations (PDEs) are not capable of capturing memory effects in the mathematical modeling of a system. To address this issue and simulate some history dependence, models have utilized fractional operators [[19,](#page-11-0) [37,](#page-12-0) [38](#page-12-1)] and integral terms. Mathematicians are influenced by this and end up working with Volterra-type partial integrodifferential equations (PIDEs). viscoelastic materials [\[22](#page-11-1), [25](#page-11-2), [39](#page-12-2)] are the subject of these models.

Numerous studies have been carried out on numerical solutions to these equations. McLean et al. utilized various strategies, including Crank-Nicolson and backward Euler methods [[31\]](#page-11-3), and a parallelizable method built upon Laplace transforms [\[26](#page-11-4), [28](#page-11-5), [29](#page-11-6), [30](#page-11-7)]. In addition, they used the piecewise-linear finite element method to discretize space. The main goal of [[10\]](#page-10-0) is to investigate the numerical behavior of second-order PIDEs arising from viscoelasticity. An iteration technique was employed by Dehghan and Shakeri in their paper [[12\]](#page-10-1) to describe heat conduction in materials that have memory.

There are many physical concepts described by fourth-order models, such as the energy field in fluid mechanics [[24\]](#page-11-8), simulating thin beams [\[15](#page-10-2)]. Due to the non-local nature of the fractional derivative, these derivatives play a significant role in the modeling of sub-diffusion processes as a result of their non-locality [\[40](#page-12-3)].

The main concern of this paper is to solve the following fourth order time-fractional (TF) PIDE:

$$
{}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\beta_{1}-1} \Delta u d\xi
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\beta_{2}-1} \Delta^{2}u d\xi = f, (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T]
$$
 (1.1)

subject to the initial condition  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x)$  and and the periodic boundary conditions (BCs), where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2 \in (0,1)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  ${}_{0}^{\text{C}}\text{D}_t^{\alpha}$  is the Caputo fractional derivative and defined as

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
{}_{0}^{\mathcal{C}}D_{t}^{\alpha}w(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{w'(\xi)}{(t-\xi)^{\alpha}} d\xi, & 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \frac{\partial w(t)}{\partial t}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}
$$
(1.2)

 $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$  and  $\Delta^2 = \frac{\partial^4}{\partial x^2}$  $\frac{\delta}{\delta x^4}$  are the Laplacian and biharmonic operators, respectively.

There are many numerical techniques available for solving a fourthorder PIDEs with a positive-type memory term. Fakhar-Izadi el al. introduced spectral-Galerkin methods [\[16,](#page-10-3) [17](#page-10-4)]. Using quasi-wavelets, Yang et al. [\[42](#page-12-4), [43](#page-12-5)] solved fourth-order PIDEs with a weakly singular kernel (WSK). In [\[35](#page-11-9)], Mohammadi et al. analyzed the stability of backward FD and local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG) method.

Discontinuous Galerkin (DG) method was introduced by Reed and Hill [[41](#page-12-6)] for solving a variety of problems. Many extensions of the DG method exist, such as symmetric interior penalty DG (SIPG), nonsymmetric interior penalty DG (NIPG) and local DG (LDG) methods. In [\[1\]](#page-9-0), Abbaszadeh and Dehghan analyzed the interior penalty DG (IPDG) methods for generalized Sobolev equations. In [\[34](#page-11-10)], Mohammadi et al. studied and analyzed the IPDG and enriched Galerkin (EG) methods for solving TF parabolic-type equations.

Second- and higher-order PDEs can be numerically solved using the LDG method [[9](#page-10-5)]. For the numerical approximation of fourth- and higherorder PDEs, the LDG method has been used and analyzed in [\[14\]](#page-10-6). LDG method has been used over the past decade for various integer and fractional ODEs [\[3,](#page-10-7) [13](#page-10-8), [32](#page-11-11)] and PDEs [\[2,](#page-9-1) [18](#page-11-12)]. Baccouch investigated the superconvergence error analysis of various fourth-order PDEs [[4](#page-10-9), [5](#page-10-10), [6](#page-10-11), [7\]](#page-10-12). Based on variational multiscale element free Galerkin (VMEFG) and LDG methods, the author of [[11\]](#page-10-13) solved the Brusselator equation. Fouladi and Dahaghin, in [[21\]](#page-11-13) , have combined the LDG method with the locally one-dimensional strategy to solve diffusion equations. Authors of [\[20](#page-11-14)] recovered the numerical solution of the Riesz space distributed-order (DO) Sobolev equation. To solve the DO TF equations, the authors of [\[33](#page-11-15)] combined LDG method and Laplace transforms (LTM-LDG) together. In [\[36](#page-12-7)] the authors used LTM-LDG scheme for the fourth-order TF PIDEs with weakly singular kernels.

The rest of the paper, is organized as follows: Section [2](#page-2-0), is dedicated to some backgrounds and preliminaries of temporal discretization, quadrature formula and spatial discretization. In Section [3,](#page-4-0) we present the semi-discrete LDG and full-discrete FD-LDG schemes. Finally some experimental results in Section [4](#page-7-0) numerically confirm the convergence of the proposed scheme.

## 2. Preliminaries and backgrounds

<span id="page-2-0"></span>2.1. **Time discretization: L1 formula for Caputo derivative.** Consider the time domain  $I = [0, T]$  with the partition  $0 = t_0 < t_1$  $\cdots < t_{N-1} = t_N = T$ . We define  $I_n = [t_{n-1}, t_n]$ , so  $[0, T] \approx \mathcal{T}_h = \bigcup_{n=1}^N I_n$ . We aim to discretize the TF Caputo derivative  ${}^C_0D_t^{\alpha}w(t_n)$  using the *L*1 formula [\[23](#page-11-16)] as

$$
{}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}w(t_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{\tau} \bigg[ b_{0}^{(\alpha)}w(t_{n+1}) - \sum_{s=1}^{n} \left( b_{n-s}^{(\alpha)} - b_{n+1-s}^{(\alpha)} \right) w(t_{s}) - b_{n}^{(\alpha)}w(t_{0}) \bigg] + \mathcal{R}_{1}^{n+1}(w), \tag{2.1}
$$

in which  $\tau$  is the time step size and  $b_s$ 's are

$$
b_s^{(\alpha)} = \frac{\tau^{1-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \bigg[ (s+1)^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha} \bigg], \qquad s = 0, 1, \dots, n,
$$
 (2.2a)

$$
|\mathcal{R}_1^n(w)| \le C \max_{0 \le t \le t} |w_{tt}(t)| \tau^{2-\alpha}.
$$
\n(2.2b)

So, denoting  $w(t_n)$  by  $w^n$ , we define the approximation operator  ${}^C_0 \mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha} w^{n+1}$ as

$$
{}_0^C\mathcal{D}_t^{\alpha}w^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\tau} \bigg[ b_0^{(\alpha)}w^{n+1} - \sum_{s=1}^n \left( b_{n-s}^{(\alpha)} - b_{n+1-s}^{(\alpha)} \right) w^s - b_n^{(\alpha)}w^0 \bigg]. \tag{2.3}
$$

2.2. **Quadrature formula: Integral terms.** In this part, we aim to approximate the integral term

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - \xi)^{\beta - 1} w(\xi) d\xi.
$$
 (2.4)

Following [\[27,](#page-11-17) [44](#page-12-8)], let  $\Pi_{0,s+1}w(t) = w(t_{s+1})$  be the the piecewise constant approximation of  $w(\xi)$ . From the interpolation theory, for  $s = 0, \ldots, N-$ 1, we have

$$
w(t) - \Pi_{0,s+1}w(t) = w'(\eta_{s+1})(t - t_{s+1}), \ t \in [t_s, t_{s+1}], \ \eta_{s+1} \in (t_s, t_{s+1}). \tag{2.5}
$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - \xi)^{\beta - 1} w(\xi) d\xi = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \int_{t_s}^{t_{s+1}} (t_{n+1} - \xi)^{\beta - 1} w(\eta) d\xi
$$
  

$$
= \frac{\tau^{\beta}}{\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{n} d_s^{(\beta)} w^{n-s+1} + \mathcal{R}_2^{n+1}(w),
$$
 (2.6)

where  $d_s^{(\beta)} = (s+1)^\beta - s^\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ ,  $0 \le s$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2^{n+1}(w) = O(\tau^{1+\beta})$ . Then, we use the following quadrature operator [[23\]](#page-11-16):

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{I}^{\beta}w^{n+1} = \frac{\tau^{\beta}}{\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{n} d_s^{(\beta)} w^{n-s+1}.
$$
 (2.7)

**Lemma 2.1** ([\[8,](#page-10-14) [27](#page-11-17)])**.** *Let us define the quadrature error as*

$$
\varepsilon^{n+1}(w) = \frac{\tau^{\beta}}{\beta} \sum_{s=0}^{n} d_s^{(\beta)} w^{n-s+1} - \int_0^{t_{n+1}} (t_{n+1} - \xi)^{\beta - 1} w(\xi) d\xi, \qquad (2.8)
$$

*such that*  $w_t \in L_1(0,T; L_2)$ *, then, the global quadrature error holds* 

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|\varepsilon^{n+1}(w)\| \le C_T \int_0^{t_{n+1}} \|w_t\| \, d\xi, \qquad t_N \le T,\tag{2.9}
$$

*where C<sup>T</sup> is a constant.*

2.3. **Spatial discretization.** Consider the spatial domain  $\Omega = [a, b]$ with the partition  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{M-1} = x_M = b$ . We define  $E_i = [x_{i-1}, x_i],$  so  $\Omega \approx \mathcal{E}_h = \bigcup_{i=1}^M E_i$ ,  $h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$  and  $h = \Delta x =$ max 1*≤i≤M*  $h_i$ .

Let  $e$  be the intersection of elements  $E_i$  and  $E_{i+1}$ , we denote

$$
w_i^{\pm} = w(x_i^{\pm}) = \lim_{\varrho \to 0^{\pm}} w(x_i + \varrho), \ \forall (x_i) \in e,
$$
 (2.10)

the left and right limits of  $w(x_i)$ . Next, in order to formulate the numerical scheme, we define the finite element subspace  $V_h$  as

$$
V_h = \left\{ v : \ \mathcal{E}_h \to \mathbb{R} \; \middle| \; v \middle|_{E_i} \in \mathcal{P}^k(E_i), i = 1, \dots, M \right\}, \tag{2.11}
$$

where  $\mathcal{P}^k(E_i)$  is the set of all polynomials of degree less than or equal  $k, k \geq 1$  and then restrict the trial and test functions to  $V_h$ .

#### 3. Numerical scheme

<span id="page-4-0"></span>This section is divided in two parts. First, utilizing LDG method, we present the semi-discrete LDG method for the numerical solution of [\(1.1](#page-1-0)). Next, considering the FD scheme (L1 formula) for temporal terms, the full discrete FD-LDG (L1-LDG) method is presented.

3.1. **Semi-discrete LDG method.** In order to introduce the LDG formulation, at first we define some auxiliary variables as

$$
p = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \qquad q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \qquad r = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}, \tag{3.1}
$$

then rewrite Eq.  $(1.1)$  $(1.1)$  as

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\int_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \int_{0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{\beta_{1} - 1} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} d\tau \\
+ \int_{0}^{t} (t - \tau)^{\beta_{2} - 1} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} d\tau = f, \\
p = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \\
q = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}, \\
r = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x},\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.2)

Multiplying equations [\(3.2](#page-5-0)) by some smooth functions  $v, w, \psi$  and  $\chi$ and integrating by parts over an element  $E_i \in \mathcal{E}_h$ , result the following formulation that holds for (*u, p, q, r*)

$$
\left(\underset{0}{^{C}}D_{t}^{\alpha}u,v\right)_{E_{i}} + \left[\left(uv\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} - \left(u,\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right]
$$
\n
$$
-\int_{0}^{t}\left(t-\xi\right)^{\beta_{1}-1}\left[\left(pv\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} - \left(p,\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right]d\xi
$$
\n
$$
+\int_{0}^{t}\left(t-\xi\right)^{\beta_{2}-1}\left[\left[rv\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} - \left(r,\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right]d\xi = (f,v)_{E_{i}},
$$
\n
$$
\left(p,w\right)_{E_{i}} = \left[\left(uw\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}} - \left(u,\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right],
$$
\n(3.3b)

$$
(p, w)_{E_i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lfloor aw \rfloor_{x_{i-1}} & \binom{w}{2x} \rfloor_{E_i} \end{bmatrix},\tag{9.95}
$$
\n
$$
(q, \psi)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ p\psi \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( p, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],\tag{3.3c}
$$

$$
(r, \chi)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ q \chi \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( q, \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],
$$
\n(3.3d)

Now, the LDG scheme is defined as: find  $(u_h, p_h, q_h, r_h) \in V_h \times V_h \times V_h \times V_h$  $V_h$  as the approximation of  $(u, p, q, r)$  such that  $(u_h, p_h, q_h, r_h)$  satisfies:

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\left(\begin{aligned}\n &\left(\begin{matrix}C\mathbf{D}_{t}^{\alpha}u_{h},v\right)_{E_{i}}+\left[\left[\hat{u}_{h}v\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}-\left(u_{h},\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right]\right.\\
 &\left.-\int_{0}^{t}\left(t-\xi\right)^{\beta_{1}-1}\left[\left[\hat{p}_{h}v\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}-\left(p_{h},\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right]d\xi\right.\\
 &\left.+\int_{0}^{t}\left(t-\xi\right)^{\beta_{2}-1}\left[\left[\hat{r}_{h}v\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}-\left(r_{h},\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right]d\xi=\left(f,v\right)_{E_{i}},\\
 &\left(p_{h},w\right)_{E_{i}}=\left[\left[\hat{u}_{h}w\right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_{i}}-\left(u_{h},\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)_{E_{i}}\right],\n\end{aligned}\right.\n\tag{3.4b}
$$

$$
(q_h, \psi)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ \hat{p}_h \psi \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( p_h, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],
$$
\n(3.4c)

$$
(r_h, \chi)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ \hat{q}_h \chi \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( q_h, \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],\tag{3.4d}
$$

The notations  $\hat{u}$ ,  $\hat{p}$ ,  $\hat{q}$  and  $\hat{r}$  denote the numerical fluxes which are defined at interior interfaces (edges) as [[14\]](#page-10-6)

$$
\hat{u} = u^{-}
$$
,  $\hat{p} = p^{+}$ ,  $\hat{q} = q^{-}$ ,  $\hat{r} = r^{+}$ , (3.5a)

and for the boundary faces

$$
\hat{u}\big|_{x_0} = \hat{u}\big|_{x_M} = u^- \big|_{x_M}, \qquad \hat{p}\big|_{x_0} = \hat{p}\big|_{x_M} = p^+\big|_{x_0},
$$
\n
$$
\hat{q}\big|_{x_0} = \hat{q}\big|_{x_M} = q^- \big|_{x_M}, \qquad \hat{r}\big|_{x_0} = \hat{r}\big|_{x_M} = r^+\big|_{x_0}.
$$
\n(3.5b)

These (alternative) numerical fluxes ensure stability and optimal convergence rate. It should be noted that this choice is not unique and other numerical fluxes such as the central numerical flux can also be used.

3.2. **Full-discrete FD-LDG method.** Using ([2.3\)](#page-3-0) for temporal discretization and quadrature rules ([2.7\)](#page-3-1) in semi-discrete scheme ([3.4\)](#page-6-0), the full-discrete FD-LDG method follows as: Find  $(u_h^{n+1})$  $p_h^{n+1}, p_h^{n+1}, q_h^{n+1}, r_h^{n+1}$   $\in$  $V_h \times V_h \times V_h \times V_h$  as the approximation of  $(u^{n+1}, p^{n+1}, q^{n+1}, r^{n+1})$  such

that  $(u_h, p_h, q_h, r_h)$  satisfies:

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
b_0^{(\alpha)} (u_h^{n+1}, v)_{E_i} - \sum_{s=0}^n \left( b_{n-s}^{(\alpha)} - b_{n+1-s}^{(\alpha)} \right) (u_h^s, v)_{E_i} - b_n^{(\alpha)} (u_h^0, v)_{E_i}
$$
  
+
$$
+ \tau \left[ \left[ \hat{u}_h^{n+1} v \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( u_h^{n+1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right]
$$
  
-
$$
\mu_1 \sum_{s=0}^n d_s^{(\beta_1)} \left[ \left[ \hat{p}_h^{n-s+1} v \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( p_h^{n-s+1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right]
$$
  
+
$$
\mu_2 \sum_{s=0}^n d_s^{(\beta_2)} \left[ \left[ \hat{r}_h^{n-s+1} v \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( r_h^{n-s+1}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right] = \tau \left( f^{n+1}, v \right)_{E_i},
$$
  
(3.6a)

$$
(p_h^s, w)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ \hat{u}_h^s w \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( u_h^s, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],\tag{3.6b}
$$

$$
(q_h^s, \psi)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ \hat{p}_h^s \psi \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( p_h^s, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],\tag{3.6c}
$$

$$
(r_h^s, \chi)_{E_i} = \left[ \left[ \hat{q}_h^s \chi \right]_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} - \left( q_h^s, \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x} \right)_{E_i} \right],\tag{3.6d}
$$

for  $s = 0, \ldots, n + 1$  and all  $v, w, \psi$  and  $\chi \in V_h$ .

## 4. Numerical experiments

<span id="page-7-0"></span>In this section, we present some numerical results to show the accuracy of the proposed scheme. Assume that  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the exact and approximation solution obtained by FD-LDG methods, respectively. Let us define the  $L_2$  norm of the error,  $e_h = u - u_h$ , as

$$
||u - u_h||_{L^2} = \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{E}_h} (u - u_h)^2 dx},
$$
\n(4.1)

and the computational order (CO) is defined as bellow

$$
CO = \frac{\ln(\frac{\|e_h\|_{L_2}\big|_{h_1}}{\|e_h\|_{L_2}\big|_{h_2}})}{\ln(\frac{h_1}{h_2})}.
$$
\n(4.2)

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Example 4.1.** Consider the following fourth order time-fractional PI-DEs:

$$
{}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\alpha}u + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\beta_{1}-1} \Delta u d\xi
$$
  
+ 
$$
\int_{0}^{t} (t - \xi)^{\beta_{2}-1} \Delta^{2}u d\xi = f, (x, t) \in [0, 1] \times (0, 1]
$$
 (4.3)

subject to zero initial condition and periodic BCs. The source term, *f*, is a given function, so that the exact solution of this problem is as follows

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
u(x,t) = t^{3-\alpha} \cos(2\pi x). \tag{4.4}
$$

The errors and computational order of the proposed scheme is reported in Table [1](#page-9-2) for  $k = 1, 2$  for different values of fractional orders of  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_1$ and  $\beta_2$ . The Convergence plots of the scheme is presented in figure [1.](#page-8-0)



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure 1. Convergence plots of FD-LDG method with  $k = 1, 2$  and  $\alpha = \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.5$  : Example [4.1](#page-8-1).

The results show the accuracy of the scheme and the optimal convergence rate,  $O(h^{k+1})$ , in the discrete  $L_2$  norm, where  $k$  represents the degree of approximation polynomials.

## 5. Conclusion

Our proposed method for solving fourth-order time-fractional PI-DEs uses a finite difference and local discontinuous Galerkin method, which can be extended for high-dimensional cases and is suitable for convection-dominated equations. The temporal term was discreted using the finite difference method (L1 formula) and the memory term was

<span id="page-9-2"></span>Table 1. Errors and CO of the FD-LDG methods [\(3.6](#page-7-1)) for Eq.  $(4.3)$  $(4.3)$  with  $k = 1, 2$ : Example [4.1](#page-8-1).

		$k=1$		$k=2$	
$(\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2)$	$\boldsymbol{h}$	$  e_h  _{L_2}$	$\rm CO$	$  e_h  _{L_2}$	CO
$\alpha=0.5$	$\mathbf{I}$ $\overline{10}$	1.8916e-02		1.2201e-03	
$\beta_1 = 0.25$	$\frac{1}{20}$	4.7608e-03	1.9903	1.5310e-04	2.9944
$\beta_2 = 0.75$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{30}$	2.1195e-03		1.9958 4.5416e-05	2.9971
	$\frac{1}{40}$	$1.1932e-03$	1.9973	1.9172e-05	2.9978
$\alpha=0.5$	$rac{1}{10}$	1.8804e-02		1.2171e-03	
$\beta_1 = 0.5$	$\frac{1}{20}$	4.7494e-03	1.9853	1.5319e-04	2.9900
$\beta_2 = 0.5$	$\frac{1}{30}$	$2.1170e-03$	1.9928	4.5466e-05	2.9959
	$\frac{1}{40}$	1.1924e-03	1.9953	1.9195e-05	2.9976
$\alpha=0.5$	$\perp$ $\frac{1}{10}$	1.8143e-02		1.1403e-03	
$\beta_1 = 0.75$	$\frac{1}{20}$	4.6088e-03	1.9769	1.4700e-04	2.9555
$\beta_2 = 0.25$	$\mathbf{1}$ 30	2.0626e-03	1.9828	4.4074e-05	2.9709
	1 40	$1.1650e-03$	1.9857	1.8714e-05	2.9776

discreted using quadrature respectively. Finally, numerical experiments have been carried out and they have demonstrated that the rate of convergence is optimal.

## **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[1] M. Abbaszadeh, M. Dehghan, Interior penalty discontinuous Galerkin technique for solving generalized Sobolev equation, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **154** *(2020) 172–186.*
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[2] M. Ahmadinia, Z. Safari, S. Fouladi, Analysis of local discontinuous Galerkin method for time–space fractional convection–diffusion equations, *BIT Numer. Math.* **58** *(3) (2018) 533–554.*
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>[3] M. Baccouch, Superconvergence of the discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear second-order initial-value problems for ordinary differential equations, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **115** *(2017) 160–179.*
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>[4] M. Baccouch, The local discontinuous Galerkin method for the fourth-order Euler–Bernoulli partial differential equation in one space dimension. Part I: Superconvergence error analysis, *J. Sci. Comput.* **59** *(3) (2014) 795–840.*
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>[5] M. Baccouch, The local discontinuous Galerkin method for the fourth-order Euler–Bernoulli partial differential equation in one space dimension. Part II: A posteriori error estimation, *J. Sci. Comput.* **60** *(2014) 1–34.*
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>[6] M. Baccouch, A superconvergent local discontinuous Galerkin method for nonlinear fourth-order boundary-value problems, *Int. J. Comput. Methods.* **17** *(7) (2020) 1950035.*
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>[7] M. Baccouch, H. Temimi, M. Ben-Romdhane, Optimal error estimates and superconvergence of an ultra weak discontinuous Galerkin method for fourthorder boundary-value problems, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **137** *(2019) 91–115.*
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>[8] C. Chen, V. Thomée, L. B. Wahlbin, Finite element approximation of a parabolic integro-differential equation with a weakly singular kernel, *Math. Comput.* **58** *(198) (1992) 587–602.*
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>[9] B. Cockburn, C. W. Shu, The local discontinuous Galerkin method for timedependent convection-diffusion systems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **35** *(6) (1998) 2440–2463.*
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[10] M. Dehghan, Solution of a partial integro-differential equation arising from viscoelasticity, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **83** *(1) (2006) 123–129.*
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>[11] M. Dehghan, M. Abbaszadeh, Variational multiscale element free Galerkin (VMEFG) and local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG) methods for solving two-dimensional Brusselator reaction–diffusion system with and without cross-diffusion, *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **300** *(2016) 770–797.*
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>[12] M. Dehghan, F. Shakeri, Solution of parabolic integro-differential equations arising in heat conduction in materials with memory via He's variational iteration technique, *Int. J. Numer. Methods Biomed. Eng.* **26** *(6) (2010) 705–715.*
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>[13] W. H. Deng, J. S. Hesthaven, Local discontinuous Galerkin methods for fractional ordinary differential equations, *BIT Numer. Math.* **55** *(4) (2015) 967–985.*
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>[14] B. Dong, C. W. Shu, Analysis of a local discontinuous Galerkin method for linear time-dependent fourth-order problems, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **47** *(5) (2009) 3240–3268.*
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>[15] G. Engel, K. Garikipati, T.J.R. Hughes, M.G. Larson, L. Mazzei, R.L. Taylor, Continuous/discontinuous finite element approximations of fourth-order elliptic problems in structural and continuum mechanics with applications to thin beams and plates, and strain gradient elasticity, Comput. Methods *Appl. Mech. Eng.* **191** *(34) (2002) 3669–3750.*
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>[16] F. Fakhar-Izadi, Fully spectral-Galerkin method for the one-and twodimensional fourth-order time-fractional partial integro-differential equations with a weakly singular kernel, *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equ.* **38** *(2) (2022) 160–176.*
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>[17] F. Fakhar-Izadi, N. Shabgard, Time-space spectral Galerkin method for timefractional fourth-order partial differential equations, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **68** *(2022) 4253–4272.*
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[18] S. Fouladi, M.S. Dahaghin, Numerical investigation of the variable-order fractional Sobolev equation with non-singular Mittag–Leffler kernel by finite difference and local discontinuous Galerkin methods, *Chaos. Solitons. Fractals,* **157** *(2022) 111915.*
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>[19] S. Fouladi, M. Kohandel, B. Eastman, A comparison and calibration of integer and fractional-order models of COVID-19 with stratified public response, *Math. Biosci. Eng.,* **19** *(2) (2022) 12792–12813.*
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>[20] S. Fouladi, H. Mohammadi-Firouzjaei, Local discontinuous Galerkin method for the Riesz space distributed-order Sobolev equation, *Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem.,* **155** *(2023) 38–47.*
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>[21] S. Fouladi, R. Mokhtari, M.S. Dahaghin, Operator-splitting local discontinuous Galerkin method for multi-dimensional linear convection-diffusion equations, *Numer. Algor. .* **92** *(2022) 1425–1449*
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[22] A. Hanyga, Wave propagation in media with singular memory, *Math. Comput. Modelling.,* **34** *(12-13) (2001) 1399–1421.*
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>[23] Y. Lin, C. Xu, Finite difference/spectral approximations for the timefractional diffusion equation, *J. Comput. Phys.* **225** *(2) (2007) 1533–1552.*
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[24] Y. L. Ma, B. Q. Li, Analytic rogue wave solutions for a generalized fourthorder Boussinesq equation in fluid mechanics, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **42** *(1) (2019) 39–48.*
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[25] F. Mainardi and P. Paradisi, Fractional diffusive waves, *J. Comput. Acoustics,* **9** *(4) (2001) 1417–1436.*
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[26] W. McLean, I. H. Sloan, V. Thomée, Time discretization via Laplace transformation of an integro-differential equation of parabolic type, *Numer. Math.* **102** *(3) (2006) 497–522.*
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>[27] W. McLean, V. Thomée, Numerical solution of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term, *ANZIAM J.* **35** *(1) (1993) 23–70.*
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[28] W. McLean, V. Thomée, Time discretization of an evolution equation via Laplace transforms, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **24** *(3) (2004) 439–463.*
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[29] W. McLean, V. Thomée, Maximum-norm error analysis of a numerical solution via Laplace transformation and quadrature of a fractional-order evolution equation, *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* **30** *(1) (2010) 208–230.*
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[30] W. McLean, V. Thomée, Numerical solution via Laplace transforms of a fractional order evolution equation, *J. Integral Equ. Appl.* **22** *(1) (2010) 57–94.*
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[31] W. McLean, V. Thomée, L. B. Wahlbin, Discretization with variable time steps of an evolution equation with a positive-type memory term, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **69** *(1) (1996) 49–69.*
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[32] H. Mohammadi-Firouzjaei, M. Adibi, H. Adibi, Local discontinuous Galerkin method for the numerical solution of fractional compartmental model with application in pharmacokinetics, *J. Math. Model.* **10** *(2) (2022) 247–261.*
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>[33] H. Mohammadi-Firouzjaei, H. Adibi, M. Dehghan, Local discontinuous Galerkin method for distributed-order time-fractional diffusion-wave equation: Application of Laplace transform, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44** *(6) (2021) 4923–4937.*
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[34] H. Mohammadi-Firouzjaei, H. Adibi, M. Dehghan, A comparative study on interior penalty discontinuous Galerkin and enriched Galerkin methods for time-fractional Sobolev equation, *Eng. Comput.* **38** *(2022) 5379–5394.*
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[35] H. Mohammadi-Firouzjaei, H. Adibi, M. Dehghan, Study of the backward difference and local discontinuous Galerkin (LDG) methods for solving

fourth-order partial integro-differential equations (PIDEs) with memory terms: Stability analysis, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **184** *(2023) 567–580.*

- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[36] H. Mohammadi-Firouzjaei, H. Adibi, M. Dehghan, Computational study based on the Laplace transform and local discontinuous Galerkin methods for solving fourth-order time-fractional partial integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernels, *Comput. Appl. Math.* **43** *(6) (2024) 324.*
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[37] H. Mohebalizadeh, H. Adibi, M. Dehghan, On the fractional Laplacian of some positive definite kernels with applications in numerically solving the surface quasi-geostrophic equation as a prominent fractional calculus model, *Appl. Numer. Math.* **188** *(2023) 75–87.*
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[38] H. Mohebalizadeh, H. Adibi, M. Dehghan, Well-posedness of space fractional Ginzburg–Landau equations involving the fractional Laplacian arising in a Bose–Einstein condensation and its kernel based approximation, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **126** *(2023) 107469.*
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[39] J. Prüss, Evolutionary integral equations and applications, vol. 87 of Monographs in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[40] I. Podlubny, Fractional differential equations: an introduction to fractional derivatives, fractional differential equations, to methods of their solution and some of their applications, *Elsevier 1998.*
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[41] W. H. Reed, T. R. Hill, Triangular mesh methods for the neutron transport equation, *Tech. Rep. LA-UR-73-479, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory, Los Alamos, 1973.*
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[42] X. Yang, D. Xu, H. Zhang, Crank–Nicolson/quasi-wavelets method for solving fourth-order partial integro-differential equation with a weakly singular kernel, *J. Comput. Phys.* 234 *(2013) 317–329.*
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[43] X. Yang, D. Xu, H. Zhang, Quasi-wavelet based numerical method for fourthorder partial integro-differential equations with a weakly singular kernel, *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **88** *(15) (2011) 3236–3254.*
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[44] J. Zhou, D. Xu, X. Dai, Weak Galerkin finite element method for the parabolic integro-differential equation with weakly singular kernel, *Comput. Appl. Math.* **38** *(2) (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40314-019-0807-7*