

## NOTES ON REGULAR MULTIPLIER HOPF ALGEBRAS

H. Abbasi<sup>1</sup> and G. A. HAGHIGHATDOOST<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran. Email: [abbasi.makrani@gmail.com](mailto:abbasi.makrani@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran. Email: [gorbanali@azaruniv.ac.ir](mailto:gorbanali@azaruniv.ac.ir)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we associate canonically a precyclic module to a regular multiplier Hopf algebra endowed with a group-like projection and a modular pair in involution satisfying certain conditions.

**Keywords:** Hopf algebra, Multiplier Hopf algebra, precyclic module.

*2000 Mathematics subject classification:* 16T15, 20G42.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a multiplier Hopf algebra is a natural generalization of the notion of a Hopf algebra. Multiplier Hopf algebras were introduced in [8] by Van Daele. In this framework, one can consider an algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  over the field  $\mathbb{C}$ , with or without an identity, but with a non-degenerate multiplication map. There is a homomorphism  $\Delta$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  to the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . Certain conditions on  $\Delta$  are imposed. The motivating example is the algebra of complex valued, finitely supported functions on an arbitrary group (see [8]). If  $\mathcal{H}$  has an identity, then we have a Hopf algebra.

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: [abbasi.makrani@gmail.com](mailto:abbasi.makrani@gmail.com)  
Received: 16 November 2013  
Revised: 20 February 2014  
Accepted: 2 March 2014

In [2, 3], introduced a cyclic module for any Hopf algebra endowed with a modular pair in involution, i.e., with a group-like element  $\sigma$  and a character such that the corresponding doubly twisted antipode has square the identity. The simplicial structure is associated to the comultiplication of the Hopf algebra and the group-like element  $\sigma$ , while the cyclic structure makes use of the product and of the twisted antipode.

Note that the group-like element  $\sigma$  is a non-zero element in the Hopf algebra  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  satisfying  $\Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma$ . This definition is not very useful when  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  is a multiplier Hopf algebra. In this paper, a group-like element is a non-zero element  $\sigma$  in the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying

$$\Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma.$$

This makes sense because  $\Delta$  has a unique extension to the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{H})$ .

In [5], introduced the notion of a group-like projection for any multiplier Hopf  $*$ -algebra. In this paper, we do not require the existence of an involution and we will work with a regular multiplier Hopf algebra  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ . By a group-like projection we mean a non-zero idempotent element  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying

$$\Delta(\gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma = \Delta(\gamma)(\gamma \otimes 1).$$

The notion of a group-like projection should not be confused with the notion of a group-like element. A group-like element  $\sigma$  in a Hopf algebra is always invertible and its inverse is  $S(\sigma)$ , where  $S$  is the antipode of the Hopf algebra. If an idempotent element in a unital algebra is invertible, then it is itself identity.

In this paper, we associate a precyclic module to a regular multiplier Hopf algebra  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  endowed with a matched pair  $(\chi, \gamma)$  and a modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \sigma)$  such that  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are compatible, i.e., with a group-like projection  $\gamma$ , a non-zero algebra homomorphism  $\chi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , a group-like element  $\sigma \in M(\mathcal{H})$  and a modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \sigma)$  such that  $\chi(\gamma) = 1$  and  $\gamma\sigma = \sigma\gamma$ .

The presimplicial structure is associated to the comultiplication  $\Delta$ , the multiplication, the group-like projection  $\gamma$  and the group-like element  $\sigma$ . Note that the group-like projection  $\gamma$  is a basic object in our paper and allows us to define the face operators. It is important to mention that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hopf algebra and  $\gamma = 1$ , then the face operators in this paper are the same with the face operators of Connes-Moscovici for Hopf algebras (see [2, 3]).

The standard references for Hopf algebras are [1, 6, 7]. For the basic theory of multiplier Hopf algebras, we refer the reader to [8].

2. PRELIMINARIES AND BASIC CONCEPTS

Throughout this paper, all vector spaces will be spaces over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . We will use the convention that  $V \otimes W$  represents the algebraic tensor product in which  $V$  and  $W$  are vector spaces.

An algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called non-degenerate if the product in  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-degenerate, i.e., if  $ab = 0$  for all  $b$  implies  $a = 0$  and  $ab = 0$  for all  $a$  implies  $b = 0$ . It is clear that any unital algebra is a non-degenerate algebra. Using Lemma A.2 in [8], the algebraic tensor product of two non-degenerate algebras is again an algebra with a non-degenerate product.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-degenerate algebra. The multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{A})$  is defined as the set of pairs  $(l, r)$  of linear maps of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying:

$$r(a)b = al(b),$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easy to see that this set  $M(\mathcal{A})$  can be made into an associative algebra. It always contains a unit, and that  $\mathcal{A}$  has a natural imbedding as an essential two-sided ideal in  $M(\mathcal{A})$ . There are natural imbeddings

$$\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A}) \otimes M(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}).$$

We will write  $xa$  for  $l(a)$  and  $ax$  for  $r(a)$  when  $x = (l, r)$  is an element of  $M(\mathcal{A})$  and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are non-degenerate algebras, then a homomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $M(\mathcal{B})$  is said to be non-degenerate if and only if  $\varphi(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}\varphi(\mathcal{A})$ . Using Proposition A.5 in [8], such a non-degenerate homomorphism has a unique extension to a unital homomorphism  $M(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M(\mathcal{B})$ .

For the following definition, we refer the reader to [8].

**Definition 2.1.** Assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a non-degenerate algebra and  $\Delta$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $M(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$  is a non-degenerate homomorphism. The pair  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  is called a multiplier Hopf algebra if

- (i)  $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ ,
- (ii)  $\Delta(h)(1 \otimes k)$  and  $(h \otimes 1)\Delta(k)$  are elements in  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ ,
- (iii) the linear mappings  $T_1, T_2 : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ , defined by

$$T_1(h \otimes k) = \Delta(h)(1 \otimes k), \quad T_2(h \otimes k) = (h \otimes 1)\Delta(k),$$

for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$  are bijective.

Since  $\Delta$  is a non-degenerate homomorphism, so  $\Delta \otimes \iota$  can be extended to the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ . Similarly,  $\iota \otimes \Delta$  can be extended to the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H})$ . The homomorphism  $\Delta$  is called a comultiplication on  $\mathcal{H}$ . We can consider the opposite comultiplication  $\Delta'$  obtained from  $\Delta$  by composing it with the flip operator on  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ .

A multiplier Hopf algebra  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  is said to be regular if  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta')$  also is a multiplier Hopf algebra.

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  be a regular multiplier Hopf algebra. There is a unique non-zero homomorphism  $\varepsilon$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \iota)\Delta = \iota = (\iota \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta.$$

The map  $\varepsilon$  is called the counit of  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$ . Also there is a unique invertible anti-homomorphism  $S$  from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfies the conditions

$$m(S \otimes \iota)(\Delta(h)(1 \otimes k)) = \varepsilon(h)k,$$

$$m(\iota \otimes S)((k \otimes 1)\Delta(h)) = \varepsilon(h)k,$$

for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , where  $m$  is the multiplication map. The map  $S$  is called the antipode of  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  (see [8]).

We use the generalized Sweedler notation for the comultiplication  $\Delta$ . We can define  $\Delta^{(n)}$  for any  $n \geq -1$  according to

$$\Delta^{(-1)} := \varepsilon,$$

$$\Delta^{(n)} := (\Delta^{(n-1)} \otimes \iota)\Delta,$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ . We have the following formula as a direct consequence of the properties of  $\Delta$  (see [1, 4, 6, 7]):

$$\Delta^{(n+m+r)} = (\iota^{\otimes(n)} \otimes \Delta^{(m)} \otimes \iota^{\otimes(r)})\Delta^{(n+r)},$$

for all  $n, m, r \geq 0$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . For all  $k_1, \dots, k_{n+1}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  we write

$$\Delta^{(n)}(h)(k_1 \otimes \dots \otimes k_{n+1}) := \sum h_{(1)}k_1 \otimes \dots \otimes h_{(n+1)}k_{n+1},$$

$$(k_1 \otimes \dots \otimes k_{n+1})\Delta^{(n)}(h) := \sum k_1h_{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes k_{n+1}h_{(n+1)}.$$

For all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$  we put

$$\Delta(h)(1 \otimes k) := \sum h_{(1)}1 \otimes h_{(2)}k,$$

$$(1 \otimes k)\Delta(h) := \sum 1h_{(1)} \otimes kh_{(2)},$$

$$\Delta(h)(k \otimes 1) := \sum h_{(1)}k \otimes h_{(2)}1,$$

$$(k \otimes 1)\Delta(h) := \sum kh_{(1)} \otimes 1h_{(2)}.$$

Throughout this paper, we fix the regular multiplier Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}$ , and we will use  $m, \Delta, \Delta', \varepsilon, S$  for the multiplication, the comultiplication, the opposite comultiplication, the counit and the antipode, respectively. Also,  $\tau$  will denote the flip operator  $\tau : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ .

3. GROUP-LIKE PROJECTION AND MODULAR PAIR IN INVOLUTION

We begin with the definition of a group-like projection. This is essentially the basic object for the rest of the paper, in the classical case, it behaves like the characteristic function of a subgroup (see [5]).

In [5], introduced the notion of a group-like projection for any multiplier Hopf  $\ast$ -algebra. In this paper, we do not require the existence of an involution and therefore, the assumptions are a little different.

**Definition 3.1.** A non-zero element  $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}$  is called a group-like projection if

$$\Delta(\gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma = \Delta(\gamma)(\gamma \otimes 1), \quad \gamma^2 = \gamma.$$

There are the following results, related with the group-like projections.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a group-like projection in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\varepsilon(\gamma) = 1$  and  $S(\gamma) = \gamma$ .*

*Proof.* Apply  $\varepsilon \otimes \iota$  to the defining equation  $\Delta(\gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma$ . Then we get  $\gamma\varepsilon(\gamma) = \gamma^2$ . Since  $\gamma^2 = \gamma$  and  $\gamma$  is assumed to be non-zero, so we obtain  $\varepsilon(\gamma) = 1$ . Using the properties of  $S$  one can get  $S(\gamma)\gamma = \gamma$ . Because  $\mathcal{H}$  is a regular multiplier Hopf algebra, so  $S^{-1}$  is the antipode of  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta')$ . Using  $\Delta'(\gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma$  and the properties of  $S^{-1}$  one can get  $S^{-1}(\gamma)\gamma = \gamma$ . If we apply  $S$ , we get  $S(\gamma)\gamma = S(\gamma)$ . Hence, we have  $S(\gamma) = \gamma$ .  $\square$

Let  $\chi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non-zero algebra homomorphism. Define a map

$$\tilde{S} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L(\mathcal{H}),$$

by

$$\tilde{S}(h)k := (\chi \otimes \iota)T_1^{-1}(h \otimes k),$$

where  $T_1$  is defined by  $T_1(h \otimes k) = \Delta(h)(1 \otimes k)$  and  $L(\mathcal{H})$  is the space of all linear maps  $l : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $l(hk) = l(h)k$ , for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ .

By definition of  $\tilde{S}$  we see that elements of the form  $\tilde{S}(h)k$  span  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *We have  $\tilde{S}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}$ .*

*Proof.* Take an element  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(e) = 1$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . For all  $k \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\tilde{S}(h)k = (\chi \otimes \iota)((e \otimes 1)T_1^{-1}(h \otimes k)).$$

Using Lemma 4.2 in [8], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(h)k &= (\chi \otimes \iota)((\iota \otimes S)((e \otimes 1)\Delta(h))(1 \otimes k)) \\ &= (\chi \otimes S)((e \otimes 1)\Delta(h))k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have  $\tilde{S}(h) = (\chi \otimes S)((e \otimes 1)\Delta(h)) \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

The elementary properties of  $S$  imply that  $\tilde{S}$  is an algebra anti-homomorphism, i.e.,

$$\tilde{S}(hk) = \tilde{S}(k)\tilde{S}(h),$$

for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ . Also, it satisfies

$$\varepsilon \circ \tilde{S} = \chi.$$

For the following result, we refer the reader to [8].

**Lemma 3.4.** *For all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have*

$$(1 \otimes S(k))\Delta(S(h)) = (S \otimes S) \circ \tau(\Delta(h)(k \otimes 1)).$$

We obtain the similar results for  $\tilde{S}$  in the next lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have*

$$(1 \otimes \tilde{S}(k))\Delta(\tilde{S}(h)) = (S \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau(\Delta(h)(k \otimes 1)),$$

$$\Delta(\tilde{S}(h))(S(k) \otimes 1) = (S \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau((1 \otimes k)\Delta(h)).$$

*Proof.* Take an element  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(e) = 1$ . We have

$$(S \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau(\Delta(h)(k \otimes 1)) = \sum (S \otimes \chi \otimes S)(h_{(2)}1 \otimes (h_{(1)}k)_{(1)}e \otimes (h_{(1)}k)_{(2)}1),$$

for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ . Using the properties of  $\Delta$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau(\Delta(h)(k \otimes 1)) &= \\ \sum (S \otimes \chi \otimes S)((h_{(2)}1)_{(2)}1 \otimes h_{(1)}(k_{(1)}e) \otimes (h_{(2)}1)_{(1)}(k_{(2)}1)), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau(\Delta(h)(k \otimes 1)) &= \sum (1 \otimes S(k_{(2)}1))\chi(k_{(1)}e)\Delta(\tilde{S}(h)) \\ &= (1 \otimes (\chi \otimes S)(\Delta(k)(e \otimes 1)))\Delta(\tilde{S}(h)) \\ &= (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(k))\Delta(\tilde{S}(h)), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the other formula.  $\square$

Because  $\mathcal{H}$  is a regular multiplier Hopf algebra, so the antipode  $S$  is invertible. We can check that a similar fact is true for  $\tilde{S}$  and its inverse is  $\tilde{S}^{-1} := (\chi \otimes S^{-1})\Delta'$ .

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\chi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non-zero algebra homomorphism and  $\gamma$  be a group-like projection in  $\mathcal{H}$ . We call  $(\chi, \gamma)$  is a matched pair if

$$\chi(\gamma) = 1.$$

It is easy to check that if  $(\chi, \gamma)$  is a matched pair, then  $\tilde{S}(\gamma) = \gamma$ .

A non-zero element  $\sigma \in M(\mathcal{H})$  is called a group-like element if

$$\Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma,$$

when we look at the extension of  $\Delta$  to  $M(\mathcal{H})$ . It follows that  $\varepsilon(\sigma) = 1$ ,  $\sigma$  is invertible and

$$S(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}, \quad \Delta(\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma^{-1} \otimes \sigma^{-1}.$$

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $\chi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a non-zero algebra homomorphism and  $\sigma$  be a group-like element. We call  $(\chi, \sigma)$  is a modular pair if

$$\chi(\sigma) = 1,$$

when we look at the extension of  $\chi$  to  $M(\mathcal{H})$ .

It is easy to check that if  $(\chi, \sigma)$  is a modular pair, then  $\tilde{S}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$ , when we look at the extension of  $\tilde{S}$  to  $M(\mathcal{H})$ . A modular pair  $(\chi, \sigma)$  is called a modular pair in involution if

$$\sigma^{-1} \tilde{S}^2(h) \sigma = h,$$

for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ .

**Example 3.8.** Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a discrete group and let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the algebra of complex functions on  $\mathcal{G}$  with finite support with pointwise operations. Consider the obvious comultiplication  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  given by

$$\Delta(f)(s, t) = f(st),$$

when  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $s, t \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}$  is a regular multiplier Hopf algebra (see [8]). One has  $\varepsilon(f) = f(e)$  and  $S(f)(t) = f(t^{-1})$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{H}, t \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $e$  is the identity element of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the characteristic function on  $\{e\}$ . Then  $(\varepsilon, \gamma)$  is a matched pair and  $(\varepsilon, 1)$  is a modular pair in involution.

#### 4. THE PRECYCLIC MODULE OF A REGULAR MULTIPLIER HOPF ALGEBRA

In the following, we define the precyclic module for  $\mathcal{H}$  as a regular multiplier Hopf algebra which is associated to a matched pair  $(\chi, \gamma)$  and a modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \sigma)$  such that  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are compatible, that is,

$$\gamma\sigma = \sigma\gamma.$$

We need a lemma before we can do this.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} m(S^2 \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau((1 \otimes h)\Delta(k)) &= \chi(k)S^2(h), \\ m(S^2 \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau((h \otimes 1)\Delta(k)) &= \chi(k)\tilde{S}(h). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Take an element  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\chi(e) = 1$ . For all  $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$m(S^2 \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau((1 \otimes h)\Delta(k)) = \sum \chi((1k_{(1)})_{(1)}e)S^2(hk_{(2)})S((1k_{(1)})_{(2)}1).$$

Using the properties of  $\Delta$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} m(S^2 \otimes \tilde{S}) \circ \tau((1 \otimes h)\Delta(k)) &= \sum \chi(k_{(1)}e)S^2(h)\varepsilon(k_{(2)}1) \\ &= \chi((\iota \otimes \varepsilon)(\Delta(k)(e \otimes 1)))S^2(h) \\ &= \chi(k)S^2(h), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the other formula.  $\square$

We associate a presimplicial module to  $\mathcal{H}$  by the comultiplication, the multiplication, the group-like projection  $\gamma$  and the group-like element  $\sigma$  such that  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are compatible. The remaining features of the given data, namely the antipode and  $\chi$ , are used to define the candidate for the cyclic operators.

Let  $C^n(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)}$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $C^0(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbb{C}$ . First, we must define the face operators  $\delta_i : C^{n-1}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathcal{H})$ , for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . We define

$$\delta_0(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}) := \gamma \otimes h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}.$$

For every  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ , we let

$$\delta_i(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}) := h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(h^i)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}.$$

Finally, we define

$$\delta_n(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}) := \Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \otimes \sigma).$$

Note that if  $n = 1$ , then  $\delta_0, \delta_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  are given by

$$\delta_0(1) := \gamma, \quad \delta_1(1) := \gamma\sigma.$$

For every  $n \geq 1$ , we define the cyclic operators  $\tau_n : C^n(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathcal{H})$  as follows:

$$\tau_n(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n) := \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h^1))(h^2 \otimes h^3 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n \otimes \sigma),$$

If  $n = 0$ , then define  $\tau_0 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\tau_0(1) := 1.$$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that  $(\chi, \gamma)$  is a matched pair and  $(\chi, \sigma)$  is a modular pair in involution such that  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are compatible. Then  $\mathcal{H}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^\bullet = \{C^n(\mathcal{H})\}_{n \geq 0}$  endowed with the above operators is a precyclic module. In other words, the operators  $\delta_i$  satisfying in the following relations:*

$$\delta_j \delta_i = \delta_i \delta_{j-1}, \quad i < j,$$



and to obtain precyclic module the operators  $\tau_n$  must satisfying in the relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_n \delta_i &= \delta_{i-1} \tau_{n-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \tau_n \delta_0 &= \delta_n, \quad \tau_n^{n+1} = \iota.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We shall only use the basic properties of the multiplication, the comultiplication, the antipode, the group-like element and the group-like projection, and adhere to the standard notational conventions for the regular multiplier Hopf algebra calculus. Let  $f = h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}$ . We first look at the case  $i = 0$  and  $j = 1$ . Thus

$$\delta_1 \delta_0(f) = \delta_1(\gamma \otimes f) = \Delta(\gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes f = \gamma \otimes \gamma \otimes f = \delta_0^2(f).$$

For  $i = 1$  and  $j = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_2 \delta_1(f) &= \sum \delta_2(h_{(1)}^1 1 \otimes h_{(2)}^1 \gamma \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}) \\ &= \sum h_{(1)}^1 1 \otimes \Delta(h_{(2)}^1 \gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \\ &= (\iota \otimes \Delta)(\Delta(h^1)(1 \otimes \gamma))(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \iota)(\Delta(h^1)(1 \otimes \gamma))(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes 1) \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \\ &= \sum \Delta(h_{(1)}^1 1)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes h_{(2)}^1 \gamma \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \\ &= \sum \delta_1(h_{(1)}^1 1 \otimes h_{(2)}^1 \gamma \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1}) = \delta_1^2(f).\end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 0$  and  $j = n + 1$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{n+1} \delta_0(f) &= \Delta^{(n)}(\gamma)(\gamma \otimes f \otimes \sigma) = (\iota \otimes \Delta^{(n-1)})(\Delta(\gamma))(\gamma \otimes f \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\iota \otimes \Delta^{(n-1)})(\Delta(\gamma)(\gamma \otimes 1))(1 \otimes f \otimes \sigma) \\ &= \gamma \otimes \Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma) = \delta_0 \delta_n(f).\end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 1$  and  $j = n + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{n+1} \delta_1(f) &= \Delta^{(n)}(\gamma)(\Delta(h^1)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \iota^{\otimes(n-1)})(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma))(\Delta(h^1)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \\ &\quad \otimes h^{n-1} \otimes \sigma) = (\Delta \otimes \iota^{\otimes(n-1)})(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma))(\Delta \otimes \iota^{\otimes(n-1)})(f \\ &\quad \otimes \sigma)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \\ &= (\Delta \otimes \iota^{\otimes(n-1)})(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma))(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \\ &= \sum (\Delta \otimes \iota^{\otimes(n-1)})(\gamma_{(1)} h^1 \otimes \gamma_{(2)} h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{(n-1)} h^{n-1} \\ &\quad \otimes (\gamma_{(n)} 1) \sigma)(1 \otimes \gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes 1) \\ &= \sum \Delta(\gamma_{(1)} h^1)(1 \otimes \gamma) \otimes \gamma_{(2)} h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{(n-1)} h^{n-1} \\ &\quad \otimes (\gamma_{(n)} 1) \sigma = \delta_1 \delta_n(f).\end{aligned}$$

If  $n = 1$ , then we have

$$\delta_2\delta_0(1) = \delta_2(\gamma) = \Delta(\gamma)(\gamma \otimes \sigma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma\sigma = \delta_0\delta_1(1).$$

If  $i = n$  and  $j = n + 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{n+1}\delta_n(f) &= \delta_{n+1}(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma)) \\ &= \Delta^{(n)}(\gamma)(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma) \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\Delta^{(n-1)} \otimes \iota)(\Delta(\gamma))(\Delta^{(n-1)} \otimes \iota)(\gamma \otimes 1)(f \otimes \sigma \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\Delta^{(n-1)} \otimes \iota)(\Delta(\gamma)(\gamma \otimes 1))(f \otimes \sigma \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\Delta^{(n-1)} \otimes \iota)(\Delta(\gamma)(1 \otimes \gamma))(f \otimes \sigma \otimes \sigma) \\ &= \Delta^{(n)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma \otimes \gamma\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n\delta_n(f) &= \delta_n(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma)) \\ &= \sum \delta_n(\gamma_{(1)}h^1 \otimes \gamma_{(2)}h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{(n-1)}h^{n-1} \otimes (\gamma_{(n)}1)\sigma) \\ &= \sum \gamma_{(1)}h^1 \otimes \gamma_{(2)}h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{(n-1)}h^{n-1} \otimes \Delta((\gamma_{(n)}1)\sigma)(1 \otimes \gamma) \\ &= \sum (\iota^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \Delta)(\gamma_{(1)}h^1 \otimes \gamma_{(2)}h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \gamma_{(n-1)}h^{n-1} \\ &\quad \otimes (\gamma_{(n)}1)\sigma)(1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma) \\ &= (\iota^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes \Delta)(\Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma))(1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes \gamma) \\ &= \Delta^{(n)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \Delta(\sigma)(1 \otimes \gamma)) = \Delta^{(n)}(\gamma)(f \otimes \sigma \otimes \gamma\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation  $\sigma\gamma = \gamma\sigma$ . Now, we shall verify the remaining relations. Starting with the compatibility with the face operators, one has

$$\tau_n\delta_0(f) = \tau_n(\gamma \otimes f) = \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(\gamma))(f \otimes \sigma) = \delta_n(f).$$

Assume that  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$  are arbitrary elements in  $\mathcal{H}$  and let

$$\alpha = 1 \otimes S(c_{n-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(c_2) \otimes \tilde{S}(c_1).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\tau_n\delta_1(f) &= \sum \alpha\Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h_{(1)}^1 1))(h_{(2)}^1\gamma \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n-1} \otimes \sigma) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon((h_{(n-1)}^1 1)_{(2)} 1)\gamma \otimes S((h_{(n-1)}^1 1)_{(1)} c_{n-1})h^2 \otimes \cdots \\ &\quad \otimes S(h_{(2)}^1 c_2)h^{n-1} \otimes \tilde{S}(h_{(1)}^1 c_1)\sigma \\ &= \sum \gamma \otimes S(c_{n-1})S(h_{(n-1)}^1 1)h^2 \otimes \cdots \\ &\quad \otimes S(h_{(2)}^1 c_2)h^{n-1} \otimes \tilde{S}(h_{(1)}^1 c_1)\sigma = \alpha\delta_0\tau_{n-1}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Because  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  are invertible, we can cancel  $\alpha$  and one obtains

$$\tau_n \delta_1 = \delta_0 \tau_{n-1}.$$

Similarly, we can prove  $\tau_n \delta_i = \delta_{i-1} \tau_{n-1}$ , for all  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . If  $n=1$ , then we have

$$\tau_1 \delta_1(1) = \tau_1(\gamma\sigma) = \tilde{S}(\gamma\sigma)\sigma = \sigma^{-1}\gamma\sigma = \gamma = \delta_0 \tau_0(1),$$

where we used the relation  $\sigma\gamma = \gamma\sigma$ . Let  $n=2$  and  $c$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(c))\tau_2 \delta_2(h) &= \sum (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(c))\Delta(\tilde{S}(\gamma_{(1)}h))((\gamma_{(2)}1)\sigma \otimes \sigma) \\ &= \sum S((\gamma_{(1)}h)_{(2)}1)(\gamma_{(2)}1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}((\gamma_{(1)}h)_{(1)}c)\sigma \\ &= \sum S(h_{(2)}1)\varepsilon(\gamma_{(2)}1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(\gamma_{(1)}(h_{(1)}c))\sigma \\ &= \sum S(h_{(2)}1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(h_{(1)}c)\gamma\sigma \\ &= (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(c))\Delta(\tilde{S}(h))(\sigma \otimes \gamma\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Now, because  $\tilde{S}$  is invertible and since the formula holds for all  $c$ , we can cancel  $1 \otimes \tilde{S}(c)$  and one obtains

$$\tau_2 \delta_2(h) = \Delta(\tilde{S}(h))(\sigma \otimes \gamma\sigma) = \delta_1(\tilde{S}(h)\sigma) = \delta_1 \tau_1(h),$$

where we used the relation  $\sigma\gamma = \gamma\sigma$ .

Similarly, we can prove  $\tau_n \delta_n = \delta_{n-1} \tau_{n-1}$ , for all  $n \geq 3$ . In the next step, we have to show that  $\tau_n^{n+1} = \iota$ . If  $n=1$ , then

$$\tau_1^2(h) = \tau_1(\tilde{S}(h)\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}\tilde{S}^2(h)\sigma = h.$$

If  $n=2$ , then we have

$$\tau_2(h^1 \otimes h^2) = \Delta(\tilde{S}(h^1))(S(a) \otimes \sigma) = \sum S(ah_{(2)}^1) \otimes \tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma,$$

where  $a = S^{-1}(h^2)$ . Let  $c$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathcal{H}$ . It follows that

$$(1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))\tau_2^2(h^1 \otimes h^2) = \sum S^2(1(ah_{(2)}^1)_{(1)})\tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c(ah_{(2)}^1)_{(2)}))\sigma.$$

Using the properties of  $\Delta$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))\tau_2^2(h^1 \otimes h^2) &= \\ &= \sum S^2((1a_{(1)})(1h_{(1)}^1)_{(2)})\tilde{S}(1(1h_{(1)}^1)_{(1)})\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S((ca_{(2)})h_{(2)}^1))\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))\tau_2^2(h^1 \otimes h^2) &= \sum \chi(1h_{(1)}^1)S^2(1a_{(1)})\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S((ca_{(2)})h_{(2)}^1))\sigma \\ &= (S^2 \otimes \tilde{S} \circ S)((1 \otimes c)\Delta(S^{-1}(h^2)))(\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma) \\ &= (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))\Delta(\tilde{S}(h^2))(\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Since the formula holds for all  $c$  and  $\tilde{S}$  and  $S$  are invertible, so we can cancel  $1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c))$  and one obtains

$$\tau_2^2(h^1 \otimes h^2) = \Delta(\tilde{S}(h^2))(\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma) = \sum S(1h_{(2)}^2)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(bh_{(1)}^2)\sigma,$$

where  $b = \tilde{S}(h^1)$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2^3(h^1 \otimes h^2) &= \sum \Delta(\tilde{S}(S(1h_{(2)}^2)\sigma))(\tilde{S}(bh_{(1)}^2)\sigma \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\sigma^{-1} \otimes \sigma^{-1})k(\sigma \otimes \sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \sum \Delta(\tilde{S}(S(1h_{(2)}^2))) (\tilde{S}(bh_{(1)}^2) \otimes 1)$ . Let  $c$  be an arbitrary element in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$(1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))k = \sum S^2(1(1h_{(2)}^2)_{(1)})\tilde{S}(bh_{(1)}^2) \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c(1h_{(2)}^2)_{(2)})).$$

Using the properties of  $\Delta$  and using Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.5, one has

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))k &= \sum S^2(1(1h_{(1)}^2)_{(2)})\tilde{S}(b(1h_{(1)}^2)_{(1)}) \otimes \tilde{S}(S(ch_{(2)}^2)) \\ &= \sum \chi(1h_{(1)}^2)\tilde{S}(b) \otimes \tilde{S}(S(ch_{(2)}^2)) \\ &= \tilde{S}^2(h^1) \otimes \tilde{S}(\tilde{S}(h^2)S(c)) \\ &= (1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c)))(\tilde{S}^2(h^1) \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^2)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, because  $\tilde{S}$  are  $S$  are invertible and since the formula holds for all  $c$ , we can cancel  $1 \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c))$  and this implies that

$$k = \tilde{S}^2(h^1) \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^2).$$

So, we have

$$\tau_2^3(h^1 \otimes h^2) = \sigma^{-1}\tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma \otimes \sigma^{-1}\tilde{S}^2(h^2)\sigma = h^1 \otimes h^2.$$

We now pass to the general case. Let  $g = h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_n(g) &= \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h^1))(S(a^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(a^n) \otimes \sigma) \\ &= (\iota \otimes \Delta^{(n-2)})(\Delta(\tilde{S}(h^1))(S(a^2) \otimes 1))(1 \otimes S(a^3) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(a^n) \otimes \sigma) \\ &= \sum S(a^2h_{(2)}^1) \otimes \Delta^{(n-2)}(\tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1))(S(a^3) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(a^n) \otimes \sigma) \\ &= \sum S(a^2h_{(n)}^1) \otimes S(a^3h_{(n-1)}^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(a^nh_{(2)}^1) \otimes \tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a^2 = S^{-1}(h^2), \dots, a^n = S^{-1}(h^n)$ . Assume that  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$  are arbitrary elements in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let

$$\xi = 1 \otimes S^2(c_{n-1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes S^2(c_2) \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c_1)).$$

Using Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi\tau_n^2(g) &= \sum \xi\Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(S(a^2h_{(n)}^1)))(S(a^3h_{(n-1)}^1) \otimes \cdots \otimes S(a^n h_{(2)}^1)) \\
&\quad \otimes \tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma \otimes \sigma = \sum S^2((1a_{(1)}^2)h_{(n)}^1)S(a^3h_{(n-1)}^1) \\
&\quad \otimes S^2((c_{n-1}a_{(2)}^2)h_{(n+1)}^1)S(a^4h_{(n-2)}^1) \otimes \cdots \\
&\quad \otimes S^2((c_2a_{(n-1)}^2)h_{(2n-2)}^1)\tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S((c_1a_{(n)}^2)h_{(2n-1)}^1))\sigma \\
&= \sum S(a^3S(1a_{(1)}^2)\varepsilon(1h_{(n-1)}^1)) \otimes S^2((c_{n-1}a_{(2)}^2)h_{(n)}^1)S(a^4h_{(n-2)}^1) \otimes \\
&\quad \cdots \otimes S^2((c_2a_{(n-1)}^2)h_{(2n-3)}^1)\tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S((c_1a_{(n)}^2)h_{(2n-2)}^1))\sigma \\
&= \sum S(a^3S(1a_{(1)}^2)) \otimes S(a^4S(c_{n-1}a_{(2)}^2)) \otimes \\
&\quad \cdots \otimes S^2((c_2a_{(n-1)}^2)(1h_{(2)}^1))\tilde{S}(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S((c_1a_{(n)}^2)h_{(3)}^1))\sigma \\
&= \sum S(a^3S(1a_{(1)}^2)) \otimes S(a^4S(c_{n-1}a_{(2)}^2)) \otimes \cdots \\
&\quad \otimes S^2(c_2a_{(n-1)}^2)\chi(1h_{(1)}^1)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S((c_1a_{(n)}^2)h_{(2)}^1))\sigma \\
&= \sum S^2(1a_{(1)}^2)h^3 \otimes S^2(c_{n-1}a_{(2)}^2)h^4 \otimes \cdots \\
&\quad \otimes S^2(c_2a_{(n-1)}^2)\sigma \otimes \tilde{S}(S(c_1a_{(n)}^2))\tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma \\
&= \xi\Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h^2))(h^3 \otimes h^4 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n \otimes \sigma \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{S}$  are  $S$  are invertible, so we can cancel  $\xi$  and this implies that

$$\tau_n^2(g) = \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h^2))(h^3 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n \otimes \sigma \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma).$$

By induction, one obtains for any  $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\tau_n^j(g) = \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h^j))(h^{j+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^{j-1})\sigma).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_n^{n+1}(g) &= \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(\sigma))(\tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{S}^2(h^n)\sigma) \\
&= \sigma^{-1}\tilde{S}^2(h^1)\sigma \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma^{-1}\tilde{S}^2(h^n)\sigma = g,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \sigma)$ . □

Define the operators  $b_n, b'_n, \lambda_n$  and  $N_n$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_n &:= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \delta_i, \quad n \geq 1, \\
b'_n &:= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i \delta_i, \quad n \geq 1, \\
\lambda_n &:= (-1)^n \tau_n, \quad n \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$N_n := \sum_{i=0}^n (\lambda_n)^i. \quad n \geq 0.$$

Using Theorem 4.2, one can check that  $b_{n+1}b_n = 0$  and  $b'_{n+1}b'_n = 0$ . Also, we have

$$(1 - \lambda_n)b_n = b'_n(1 - \lambda_{n-1}), \quad b_n N_{n-1} = N_n b'_n,$$

$$(1 - \lambda_n)N_n = N_n(1 - \lambda_n) = 0.$$

Hence, one obtains the bicomplex  $CC_{(\gamma,\chi,\sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H})$  as follows:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ b_3 \uparrow & & -b'_3 \uparrow & & b_3 \uparrow & & -b'_3 \uparrow & \\ \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(2)} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_2} & \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(2)} & \xrightarrow{N_2} & \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(2)} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_2} & \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(2)} & \longrightarrow \dots \\ b_2 \uparrow & & -b'_2 \uparrow & & b_2 \uparrow & & -b'_2 \uparrow & \\ \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_1} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{N_1} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_1} & \mathcal{H} & \longrightarrow \dots \\ b_1 \uparrow & & -b'_1 \uparrow & & b_1 \uparrow & & -b'_1 \uparrow & \\ \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_0} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{N_0} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_0} & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

The cohomology of the total complex  $Tot(CC_{(\gamma,\chi,\sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}))$  corresponding to the precyclic module  $\mathcal{H}_{(\gamma,\chi,\sigma)}^\bullet$  is the precyclic cohomology  $HC_{(\gamma,\chi,\sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  relative to the matched pair  $(\chi, \gamma)$ , the modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \sigma)$  and  $\gamma\sigma = \sigma\gamma$ . The Hochschild cohomology  $HH_{(\gamma,\chi,\sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H})$  is the cohomology of the first column of the bicomplex  $CC_{(\gamma,\chi,\sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H})$  (see also Remark 5.4 below).

### 5. SOME REMARKS

In this paper, the group-like projection  $\gamma$  plays an important role and allows us to define the face operators.

**Remark 5.1.** *If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hopf algebra and  $\gamma = 1$ , then the face operators in this paper are the same with the face operators of Connes-Moscovici for Hopf algebras (see [2, 3]).*

**Remark 5.2.** *In Theorem 4.2, in addition, if there are the degeneracy operators*

$$\sigma_i : C^{n+1}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathcal{H}), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

with the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j \sigma_i &= \sigma_i \sigma_{j+1}, \quad i \leq j, \\ \tau_n \sigma_i &= \sigma_{i-1} \tau_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad \tau_n \sigma_0 = \sigma_n \tau_{n+1}^2, \\ \sigma_j \delta_i &= \delta_i \sigma_{j-1}, \quad i < j, \quad \sigma_j \delta_i = \delta_{i-1} \sigma_j, \quad i > j + 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_j \delta_i = \iota, \quad i = j \text{ or } i = j + 1,$$

then  $\mathcal{H}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^\bullet$  is a cyclic module in the sense of Connes. Using the work of Connes-Moscovici, our candidate is

$$\sigma_i(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n+1}) = \varepsilon(h^{i+1})h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^i \otimes h^{i+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n+1}.$$

Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 \delta_2(h) &= \sigma_1(\Delta(\gamma)(h \otimes \sigma)) \\ &= \sum \sigma_1(\gamma_{(1)}h \otimes (\gamma_{(2)}1)\sigma) \\ &= \sum \varepsilon((\gamma_{(2)}1)\sigma)\gamma_{(1)}h = \gamma h. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, one has  $\sigma_1 \delta_2 \neq \iota$ . Therefore, our work will not imply that  $\mathcal{H}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^\bullet$  is a cyclic module.

**Remark 5.3.** It is known that if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Hopf algebra and  $\gamma = 1$ , then one has the vanishing of the cohomology groups of the complex  $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet, b'_\bullet)$  with the extra degeneracy operator  $s_n : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)}$  as contracting homotopy, where

$$s_n(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n+1}) = \Delta^{(n-1)}(\tilde{S}(h^1))(h^2 \otimes h^3 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n+1}).$$

If  $n = 0$ , then we have  $s_0(h) = \chi(h)$ . If  $n = 1$ , then we have  $s_1(h \otimes k) = \tilde{S}(h)k$ . Consider the case when  $\mathcal{H}$  is a regular multiplier Hopf algebra. In this case, one can check that  $s_0 b'_1 = \iota$ , and  $s_n b'_{n+1} + b'_n s_{n-1} = T_n \neq \iota$ , where

$$T_n(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n) = \Delta^{(n-1)}(\gamma)(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n).$$

However, we still have the vanishing of the cohomology groups of the complex  $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet, b'_\bullet)$ . Define  $\theta_n : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)}$  by

$$\theta_n(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^{n+1}) = (-1)^n \varepsilon(h^{n+1})(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n).$$

Then  $\theta_0 b'_1 = \iota$ , and  $\theta_n b'_{n+1} + b'_n \theta_{n-1} = \iota$ . Therefore, the result is still valid for regular multiplier Hopf algebras.

**Remark 5.4.** Consider the short exact sequence of bicomplexes

$$0 \rightarrow \widetilde{CC}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow CC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(CC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H})) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\widetilde{CC}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H})$  is the bicomplex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & b_3 \uparrow & & -b'_3 \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(2)} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_2} & \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(2)} & \xrightarrow{N_2} & \dots \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & b_2 \uparrow & & -b'_2 \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_1} & \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{N_1} & \dots \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & b_1 \uparrow & & -b'_1 \uparrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{1-\lambda_0} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{N_0} & \dots
 \end{array}$$

and  $\text{Coker}(CC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}))$  is the double complex associated to the first two columns of  $\widetilde{CC}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that the complex  $\text{Tot}(\widetilde{CC}_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}))$  is  $\text{Tot}(CC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}))$  switched two places. Also, the column with differential  $-b'$  is acyclic (see Remark 5.3), then the Hochschild cohomology is isomorphic to the cohomology of the total complex associated to the double complex  $\text{Coker}(CC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{*,*}(\mathcal{H}))$ . Therefore, the above short exact sequence induces a natural long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dots &\rightarrow HC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^m(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^n(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow HC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{m-1}(\mathcal{H}) \\
 &\rightarrow HC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{m+1}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^{n+1}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

A multiplier Hopf algebra is called of *discrete type* if it has a cointegral (see [9, 10]). A *left cointegral* is a non-zero element  $a \in \mathcal{H}$  satisfying  $ha = \varepsilon(h)a$  for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ . Remark that such cointegrals do not always exist and they are unique (up to a scalar) if they exist. Also in the Example 3.8, the group-like projection  $\gamma$  is a left cointegral. In general, however, left cointegrals and group-like projections are different. For example, consider the algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  spanned by elements  $\{e_p b^q \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}, q = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and where the elements  $e_p$  and  $b$  satisfy the relations  $e_p e_q = \delta(p, q)e_p$  and  $b e_p = e_{p+1} b$ . Choose any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ . Define  $a$  in  $M(\mathcal{H})$  by  $a = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda^k e_k$ . Then define a comultiplication  $\Delta$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta(e_p) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e_k \otimes e_{p-k}, \\
 \Delta(b) &= a \otimes b + b \otimes a^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$



Remark that these infinite sums are well-defined in the strict topology on the multiplier algebra. Then  $(\mathcal{H}, \Delta)$  is a regular multiplier Hopf algebra [10]. One can verify that no cointegrals exist for this example, but  $\gamma = e_0$  is a group-like projection.

**Remark 5.5.** Assume that the group-like projection  $\gamma$  is a left cointegral. Define  $D_n : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)}$  by

$$D_n(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \dots \otimes h^{n+1}) = \varepsilon(h^1)(h^2 \otimes \dots \otimes h^{n+1}).$$

Then  $D_n b_{n+1} + b_n D_{n-1} = \iota$ . This implies that  $HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^n(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Also, since  $\gamma$  is a left cointegral,  $\gamma^2 = \gamma$  and  $\gamma\sigma = \sigma\gamma$ , so one has  $\gamma\sigma = \gamma$ . Then  $b_1(1) = \gamma - \gamma\sigma = 0$  and  $HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^0(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Ker}(b_1) = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Remark 5.6.** Assume that  $\gamma$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}$  and denote  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathcal{H}\gamma$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is a Hopf algebra when we define the comultiplication  $\Delta_0$  on  $\mathcal{H}_0$  by  $\Delta_0(h\gamma) = \Delta(h)(\gamma \otimes \gamma)$  (see [5]). The counit  $\varepsilon_0$  for  $\Delta_0$  is simply the restriction of  $\varepsilon$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and the antipode  $S_0$  is given by the restriction of  $S$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Also,  $\gamma$  is the identity in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Let  $(\chi, \gamma)$  be a matched pair and  $(\chi, \sigma)$  a modular pair in involution such that  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are compatible. One can check that  $(\chi, \gamma\sigma)$  is a modular pair in involution for the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , when we look at the restriction of  $\chi$  to  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Let  $HC_{(\chi, \gamma\sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H}_0)$  be the cyclic cohomology of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  relative to the modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \gamma\sigma)$  in the sense of Connes-Moscovici for Hopf algebras and  $HH_{(\chi, \gamma\sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H}_0)$  be its Hochschild cohomology. Then the following map is a precyclic map:

$$T : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_0^{\otimes(n)},$$

$$T(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \dots \otimes h^n) = h^1\gamma \otimes h^2\gamma \otimes \dots \otimes h^n\gamma.$$

Hence,  $T$  defines a canonical map from  $HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H})$  to  $HH_{(\chi, \gamma\sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H}_0)$  and  $T$  defines a canonical map from  $HC_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H})$  to  $HC_{(\chi, \gamma\sigma)}^*(\mathcal{H}_0)$ .

**Remark 5.7.** Assume that  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  is a Hochschild 1-cocycle. Then we have

$$\gamma \otimes h + \Delta(\gamma)(h \otimes \sigma) = \Delta(h)(1 \otimes \gamma).$$

If we apply  $\iota \otimes \varepsilon$  and  $\varepsilon \otimes \iota$ , we get  $\varepsilon(h) = 0$  and  $h\gamma = h = \gamma h$ . Remark that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma\sigma$  need not be the same. Now, assume that  $\gamma$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then it is clear that  $HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^0(\mathcal{H}) = HH_{(\chi, \gamma\sigma)}^0(\mathcal{H}_0)$  (see Remark 5.6). Also, using the argument above and Remark 5.6, one can verify that  $HH_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}^1(\mathcal{H})$  is isomorphic to  $HH_{(\chi, \gamma\sigma)}^1(\mathcal{H}_0)$ .

**Remark 5.8.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be an algebraic quantum group with a non-zero left invariant functional  $\varphi$  (see [9]). Assume that  $\varphi(\gamma) \neq 0$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  be a Hochschild 1-cocycle. Since

$$\gamma \otimes h + \Delta(\gamma)(h \otimes \sigma) = \Delta(h)(1 \otimes \gamma),$$

$\gamma h = h$  (see Remark 5.7), so one has

$$\gamma \otimes h + h \otimes \gamma \sigma = \Delta(h)(1 \otimes \gamma).$$

Let  $\phi = \varphi \circ S$ , where  $S$  is the antipode of  $\mathcal{H}$ . If we apply  $\phi \otimes \iota$ , we get  $\phi(\gamma)h = \phi(h)(\gamma - \gamma\sigma)$ , where we used the right invariance of  $\phi$ . Hence, we have  $b_1(\frac{\phi(h)}{\phi(\gamma)}) = h$  and  $HH^1_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ . We refer to [5] with examples for an illustration of the result.

**Remark 5.9.** An element  $x \in M(\mathcal{H})$  is called  $\sigma$ -primitive if

$$\Delta(x) = 1 \otimes x + x \otimes \sigma.$$

This makes sense because  $\Delta$  has a unique extension to the multiplier algebra  $M(\mathcal{H})$ . Assume that  $x$  is  $\sigma$ -primitive. Then

$$b_2(\gamma x \gamma) = \gamma \otimes \gamma x \gamma - \Delta(\gamma)(1 \otimes x + x \otimes \sigma)(\gamma \otimes \gamma) + (\gamma \otimes \gamma)(x \gamma \otimes \sigma) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\gamma x \gamma$  is a Hochschild 1-cocycle.

**Remark 5.10.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hopf algebra. Let  $(\chi, \gamma)$  be a matched pair and  $(\chi, \sigma)$  a modular pair in involution such that  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  are compatible. Assume that  $\gamma$  is central in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_{(\chi, \sigma)}$  be the cyclic module of  $\mathcal{H}$  relative to the modular pair in involution  $(\chi, \sigma)$  in the sense of Connes-Moscovici for Hopf algebras, and  $HC^*_{(\chi, \sigma)}(\mathcal{H})$  be its cyclic cohomology. Then the following map is a precyclic map from  $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_{(\chi, \sigma)}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^\bullet_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}$ :

$$\theta : \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\otimes(n)},$$

$$\theta(h^1 \otimes h^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n) = h^1 \gamma \otimes h^2 \gamma \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n \gamma.$$

Hence,  $\theta$  defines a canonical map from  $HC^*_{(\chi, \sigma)}(\mathcal{H})$  to  $HC^*_{(\gamma, \chi, \sigma)}(\mathcal{H})$ .

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to A. Van Daele for his helpful comments.

### REFERENCES

1. E. Abe, *Hopf algebras*, Cambridge University Press (1977).
2. A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Hopf Algebras, Cyclic Cohomology and the Transverse Index Theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **198** (1998) 199-246.
3. A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Cyclic Cohomology and Hopf Algebras, *Letters Math. Phys.* **48** (1999) 97-108.
4. B. Drabant and A. Van Daele, Pairing and quantum double of multiplier Hopf algebras, *Algebras and representation theory* **4** (2001) 109-132.
5. M. B. Landstad and A. Van Daele, Compact and discrete subgroups of algebraic quantum groups I, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.OA/0702458v1> (2007).

6. S. Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).
7. A. Klimyk and K. Schmudgen, *Quantum groups and their representations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1997).
8. A. Van Daele, Multiplier Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **342** (1994) 917-932.
9. A. Van Daele, An algebraic framework for group duality, *Adv. in Math.*, **140** (1998) 323-366.
10. A. Van Daele and Y. Zhang, Multiplier Hopf algebras of discrete type, *J. of Alg.*, **214** (1999) 400-417.