Caspian Journal of Mathematical Sciences (CJMS) University of Mazandaran, Iran http://cjms.journals.umz.ac.ir ISSN: 2676-7260 CJMS. **11**(1)(2022), 203-209

Some inequalities on the order of the higher multiplier of groups

Homayoon Arabyani¹ ¹ Department of Mathematics, Neyshabur Branch, Islamic Azad University, Neyshabur, Iran;

ABSTRACT. The Schur multiplier $\mathcal{M}(G)$ of a group G was introduced by Schur in 1904 during his works on projective representations of groups. Ellis extended the theory of the Schur multiplier for a pair of groups. Several authors generalized the concept of the Schur multiplier of a pair of groups to the *c*-nilpotent multiplier of a pair of groups. This was a motivation to define the Baer-invariant of the pair (N, G) with respect to a variety of groups. In this paper, we prove some inequalities for the order of the *c*-nilpotent multiplier of a pair of groups.

Keywords: Pair of groups, c-nilpotent multiplier, p-groups.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: 20F18; 20E34.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ be a free presentation of a group G. Then, the c-nilpotent multiplier of G is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(G) = \frac{\gamma_{c+1}(F) \cap R}{\gamma_{c+1}(R,F)},$$

in which $\gamma_{c+1}(F)$ is the (c+1)-th term of the lower central series of Fand $\gamma_1(R,F) = R$, $\gamma_{c+1}(R,F) = [\gamma_c(R,F),F]$, inductively. If c = 1,

203

¹arabyani.h@gmail.com, h.arabyani@iau-neyshabur.ac.ir Received: 07 February 2021 Revised: 16 February 2021 Accepted: 18 February 2021

then $\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(G) = \mathcal{M}(G)$ is celled the Schur multiplier of G (see [3, 8] for more information).

Let (N, G) be a pair of groups, in which N is a normal subgroup of G. Ellis defined the Schur multiplier of a pair (N, G) to be the abelian group $\mathcal{M}(N, G)$ appearing in the following exact sequence

$$H_3(G) \to H_3\left(\frac{G}{N}\right) \to \mathcal{M}(N,G) \to \mathcal{M}(G) \to \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{G}{N}\right)$$
$$\to \frac{N}{[N,G]} \to (G)^{ab} \to \left(\frac{G}{N}\right)^{ab} \to 1,$$

in which $H_3(G)$ is the third homology of G with integer coefficients. Let $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ be a free presentation of G and S be a normal subgroup of F with $N \cong S/R$. If N admits a complement in G then

$$\mathcal{M}(N,G) \cong \frac{R \cap [S,F]}{[R,F]}.$$

If N = G, then $\mathcal{M}(G, G) = \mathcal{M}(G)$ is the usual Schur multiplier of G.

Let G and N be two groups with an action of G on N. Then, the G-commutator subgroup and G-center subgroup of N are defined, as follows:

$$[N,G] = \langle [n,g] = n^g n^{-1} | n \in N, g \in G \rangle,$$
$$Z(N,G) = \{ n \in N | n^g = n, \forall g \in G \}.$$

Let (N, G) be a pair of groups, and S be a normal subgroup of F with $N \cong S/R$. If N admits a complement in G, then the c-nilpotent multiplier of the pair (N, G) is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N,G) = \frac{R \cap [S,_c F]}{[R,_c F]}$$

where, $[X_{,c}Y] = [X, \underbrace{Y, \cdots, Y}_{c-\text{times}}]$. One can check that $\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N, G)$ is

abelian and independent of the free presentation of G. In particular, if N = G, then $\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(G, G) = \mathcal{M}^{(c)}(G)$ is the *c*-nilpotent multiplier of G. (See [1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 11] for more information). Let (N, G) be a pair of groups, in which N is a normal subgroup of G. We define the lower central series of normal subgroups of N as follows

$$N = [N_{,0}G] \supseteq [N,G] \supseteq [N,G,G] \supseteq \cdots \supseteq [N_{,c}G] \supseteq \cdots,$$

where $[N,_c G] = \gamma_{c+1}(N,G) = [N, \underbrace{G, \ldots, G}_{c\text{-times}}], c > 0$. Similarly, we define the upper central series of N in C as follows

the upper central series of N in G as follows

$$0 = Z_0(N,G) \subseteq Z_1(N,G) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq Z_c(N,G) \subseteq \cdots,$$

where, $Z_c(N,G) = \{n \in N | [n, g_1, \dots, g_c] = 1 \text{ for all } g_1, \dots, g_c \in G\}$. Let (N,G) be a pair of groups. A relative *c*-central extension of the pair (N,G) is a homomorphism $\sigma : M \to G$ together with an action of G on M such that

- (i) $\sigma(M) = N$
- (ii) $\sigma(m^g) = g^{-1}\sigma(m)g$, for all $g \in G, m \in M$,
- (iii) $m'^{\sigma(m)} = m^{-1}m'm$, for all $m, m' \in M$,
- (iv) ker $\sigma \subseteq Z_c(M, G)$.

In addition, the relative c-central extension $\sigma : M \to G$ is said to be a c-cover of (N, G) if there exists a subgroup A of M such that

- (i) $A \subseteq Z_c(M,G) \cap [M,_c G],$
- (ii) $A \cong \mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N, G),$
- (iii) $N \cong M/A$.

In this paper, we prove some inequalities for the order of the *c*-nilpotent multiplier of a pair of groups.

2. Main results

Let X and Y be two groups, we recall $X \otimes^c Y = X \otimes \underbrace{Y \otimes \ldots \otimes Y}_{c\text{-times}}$ is the abelian tensor product. Also, the exterior product $N \wedge \overset{c\text{-times}}{G}$ is $N \otimes G/\langle n \otimes n | n \in N \rangle$. So $N \wedge^c G = N \wedge \underbrace{G \wedge \ldots \wedge G}_{c\text{-times}}$, (see [5] for more

information).

Let *H* be a group and $|H/Z(H)| = p^n$, then Wiegold [12] proved that $|H'| \leq p^{1/2n(n+1)}$. If $H/Z(H) \cong G$ then Gaschutz et al. [6] showed that

$$|H' \cap Z(H)| \le |\mathcal{M}(\frac{G}{G'})||G'|^{d(\frac{G}{Z(G)})-1},$$

where d(X) is the minimal generator of group X. In particular,

$$|H'| \le |\mathcal{M}(\frac{G}{G'})||G'|^{d(\frac{G}{Z(G)})-1}.$$

In [9] Moghaddam et. al. generalized the works of Wiegold and Gaschutz et al. to a pair of groups. In this section, we extend the above results to the c-nilpotent multiplier of a pair of groups. The following Lemmas are useful for the proof of the next results.

Lemma 2.1. Let G and K be two groups with central subgroups N and M, respectively. If $\theta : G \to K$ is an epimorphism with $\theta(N) = M$, then

$$|\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(M,K)| \le |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N,G)|.$$

Proof. One can check that θ induces the following epimorphism

$$\psi: N \otimes^{c} G^{ab} \to M \otimes^{c} K^{ab}$$

$$\psi (n \otimes (g_{1}G') \otimes \cdots \otimes (g_{c}G')) = \theta(n) \otimes (\theta(g_{1})K') \otimes \cdots \otimes (\theta(g_{c})K'),$$

where, $n \in N$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_c \in G$. Thus, we can see that there exists an epimorphism from $\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N, G)$ on to $\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(M, K)$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(M,K)| \le |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N,G)|.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let (N,G) be a pair of finite groups and M be a normal subgroup of G such that $M \subseteq Z(N,G)$. Then

$$|M \cap [N,_c G]| \le \left| \mathcal{M}^{(c)} \left(\frac{N}{M}, \frac{G}{M} \right) \right|.$$

Proof. Define

$$\sigma: N \wedge^c G \to G$$

$$\sigma (n \wedge (g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge g_c)) = [n, g_1, \dots, g_c].$$

Thus,

Im $(\sigma) = [N, cG]$ and ker $(\sigma) \cong \mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N, G)$.

So, there exists an epimprphism

$$\varphi: N \wedge^{c} G \to \frac{N}{M} \wedge^{c} \frac{G}{M}$$
$$\varphi (n \wedge (g_{1} \wedge \dots \wedge g_{c})) = (nM') \wedge (g_{1}M' \wedge \dots \wedge g_{c}M'),$$

for $g_1, \ldots, g_c \in G$ and $n \in N$. Thus, we have an epimorphism δ : $N/M \wedge^c G/M \to [N, cG]$ such that $\delta \varphi = \sigma$. Therefore,

$$|[N,_c G]| \le \Big|\frac{N}{M} \wedge^c \frac{G}{M}\Big|,$$

and so, we have

$$\Big|\frac{N}{M}\wedge^{c}\frac{G}{M}\Big||M\cap[N,_{c}G]|=\Big|\mathcal{M}^{(c)}\Big(\frac{N}{M},\frac{G}{M}\Big)\Big||[N,_{c}G]|.$$

Thus, the proof is completes.

Theorem 2.3. Let (M, K) be a pair of finite p-groups. If (N, G) is a pair of finite groups such that $\frac{G}{Z_c(N,G)} \cong K$ and $\frac{N}{Z_c(N,G)} \cong M$. Then

$$|[N,_{c}G]| \leq |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(\frac{M}{[M,_{c}K]},\frac{K}{[M,_{c}K]})| \cdot |[M,_{c}K]|^{d(\frac{K}{Z_{c}(M,K)})},$$

where d(X) is the minimal number of generators of a group X.

206

Running Title

Proof. we prove the result by using induction on the order of $[M,_c K]$. If $|[M,_c K]| = 1$, then by using Lemma 2.2, we obtain the result. Now, let $|[M,_c K]| = n > 1$ and the result holds for any pair (M', K') of finite p-groups with $|[M',_c K']| < n$. Let $Z_{c+1}(N, G)$ be the pre-image in the normal subgroup N of $Z(\frac{N}{Z_c(N,G)}, \frac{G}{Z_c(N,G)})$. We have

$$Z_c(N,G) \lneq Z_{c+1}(N,G) \cap ([N,_c G]Z_c(N,G)),$$

thus there exists $x \in (Z_{c+1}(N,G) \cap ([N,_c G]Z_c(N,G)) - Z_c(N,G))$. Hence, the following mapping is a well defined epimorphism

$$\delta : \frac{G}{Z_{c+1}(N,G)} \to [x,_c G]$$
$$\delta (gZ_{c+1}(N,G)) = [x, \underbrace{g, \cdots, g}_{c\text{-times}}].$$

Put T = [x, cG]. So, we obtain $|T| \le p^{d\left(\frac{K}{Z_c(M,K)}\right)}$. Put

$$(N^*, G^*) = \left(\frac{N}{T}, \frac{G}{T}\right) \text{ and } (M^*, K^*) = \left(\frac{N^*}{Z_c(N^*, G^*)}, \frac{G^*}{Z_c(N^*, G^*)}\right).$$

As $xT \in Z_c(N^*, G^*) - \frac{Z_c(N, G)}{T}$. Thus,
$$\frac{Z_c(N, G)}{T} \lneq Z_c(N^*, G^*).$$

Also, the following map is an epimorphism with $\theta(M)=M^*$ and $\ker\theta\neq 1$

$$\theta: K \cong \frac{G}{Z_c(N,G)} \to K^*$$
$$\theta(gZ_c(N,G)) = (gT)Z_c(N^*,G^*)$$

Now, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\left|\mathcal{M}^{(c)}\left(\frac{M^{*}}{Z_{c}(M^{*},K^{*})},\frac{K^{*}}{Z_{c}(M^{*},K^{*})}\right)\right| \leq \left|\mathcal{M}^{(c)}\left(\frac{M}{Z_{c}(M,K)},\frac{K}{Z_{c}(M,K)}\right)\right|.$$

Also,

$$d\left(\frac{K^*}{Z_c(M^*, K^*)}\right) \le d\left(\frac{K}{Z_c(M, K)}\right) \text{ and } |[M^*, K^*]| \le |[M, K]|$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} |[N^*,_c G^*]| &\leq \left| \mathcal{M}^{(c)} \Big(\frac{M^*}{Z_c(M^*, K^*)}, \frac{K^*}{Z_c(M^*, K^*)} \Big) | \cdot |[M^*,_c K^*]|^{d} \Big(\frac{K^*}{Z_c(M^*, K^*)} \Big) \right. \\ &\leq \left| \mathcal{M}^{(c)} \Big(\frac{M}{Z_c(M, K)}, \frac{K}{Z_c(M, K)} \Big) \Big| \frac{[M,_c K]}{p} |^{d} \Big(\frac{K}{Z_c(M, K)} \Big) \right. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$|[N,_{c}G]| = |[N^{*},_{c}G^{*}]||T|.$$

So, we have

$$|[N,_c G]| \leq |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}\left(\frac{M}{Z_c(M,K)}, \frac{K}{Z_c(M,K)}\right)||\frac{[M,_c K]}{p}|^{d\left(\frac{K}{Z_c(M,K)}\right)} \cdot |T|$$
$$\leq |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}\left(\frac{M}{Z_c(M,K)}, \frac{K}{Z_c(M,K)}\right)||[M,_c K]|^{d\left(\frac{K}{Z_c(M,K)}\right)}.$$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.4. Let (M, K) be a pair of finite p-groups. Then for each pair (N, G) of finite groups with $\frac{G}{Z_c(N,G)} \cong K$ and $\frac{N}{Z_c(N,G)} \cong M$,

$$|[N,_{c}G] \cap Z_{c}(N,G)| \leq \left| \mathcal{M}^{(c)}\left(\frac{M}{[M,_{c}K]}, \frac{K}{[M,_{c}K]}\right) \right| \cdot |[M,_{c}K]|^{d\left(\frac{K}{Z_{c}(M,K)}\right) - 1}$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 we prove the last result.

Corollary 2.5. Let (N, G) be a pair of finite p-groups such that N has a complement in G. Then

$$|\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N,G)| \le \left| \mathcal{M}^{(c)}\left(\frac{N}{[N,_{c}G]}, \frac{G}{[N,_{c}G]}\right) \right| |[N,_{c}G]|^{d\left(\frac{G}{Z_{c}(N,G)}\right) - 1}.$$

Proof. If $\sigma : M \to G$ is a *c*-cover of the pair (N, G), then there exists a group H such that $M \subseteq H$, and

$$\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N,G) \cong \ker \sigma \subseteq [M,_c H] \cap Z_c(M,H).$$

And,

$$(N,G) \cong \left(\frac{M}{\ker \sigma}, \frac{H}{\ker \sigma}\right).$$

Put

$$(P,K) = \left(\frac{M}{[M,_cH] \cap Z_c(M,H)}, \frac{H}{[M,_cH] \cap Z_c(M,H)}\right)$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 2.4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(N,G)| &\leq |[M,_{c}H] \cap Z_{c}(M,H)| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(\frac{P}{[P,_{c}K]},\frac{K}{[P,_{c}K]})| |[P,_{c}K]|^{d\left(\frac{K}{Z_{c}(P,K)}\right)-1} \\ &\leq |\mathcal{M}^{(c)}(\frac{N}{[N,_{c}G]},\frac{G}{[N,_{c}G]})| |[N,_{c}G]|^{d\left(\frac{G}{Z_{c}(N,G)}\right)-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following, we present some examples which satisfy in our results.

208

Example 2.6. Suppose that D and Q denote the dihedral and the quaternion group of order 8, also E_1 and E_2 denote the extra special p-groups of order p^3 of odd exponent p and p^2 , respectively. Also, E_4 denotes the unique central product of a cyclic group of order p^2 and a non-abelian group of order p^3 , and $Z_n^{(m)}$ denotes the direct product of m copies of Z_n . Then the following groups satisfy in our results;

- (i) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong Z_{p^2}$ and K = 1.
- (ii) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong E_4$ and $K = Z_p$.
- (iii) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong E_1 \times Z_p^{(3)}$ and $K = Z_p$.
- (iv) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong Q$ and $K = Z_p^{(2)}$.
- (v) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong D \times Z_2$ and $K = Z_p^{(2)}$.
- (vi) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong E_2$ and $K = Z_p^{(2)}$
- (vii) $G \cong N \times K$ where $N \cong D \times Z_2$ and $K = Z_p^{(2)}$

References

- H. Arabyani, Bounds for the dimension of Lie algebras, J. Math. Ext. 13 (4) (2019), 231-239.
- [2] H. Arabyani, M. J. Sadeghifard and S. Sheikh-Mohseni, Some upper bounds for the dimension of the *c*-nilpotent multiplier of a pair of Lie algebras, *Math. Gen. Algebra Appl.* 40 (2020), 159-164.
- [3] R. Bear, Representation of groups as quotient groups, I, II and III, Trans Amer. Math. Soc. 58 (1945), 295-419.
- [4] G. Ellis, The Schur multiplier of a pair of groups, Appl. Categ. Structures, 6 (3) (1998), 355-371.
- [5] G. Ellis and A. McDermott, Tensor products of prime-power groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 132(2) (1998), 119-128.
- [6] W. Gaschutz and J. Ti. Y. Neubüser, Über den multiplikator von pgruppen, Math. Z. 100 (1967), 93-96.
- [7] A. Hokmabadi, F. Mohammadzadeh and B. Mashayekhy, On Nilpotent multipliers of pairs of groups and their nilpotent covering pairs, Arxiv:1511.08139vlmath. GR.
- [8] G. Karpilovsky, The Schur Multiplier, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1987.
- M. R. R. Moghaddam, A. R. Salemkar and T. Karimi, Some Inequalities for the order of the Schur Multiplier of a Pair of Groups, Comm. Algebra, 36 (2008), 2481-2486.
- [10] M. R. R. Moghaddam, A. R. Salemkar and H. M. Sanny, Some inequalities for the Baer-invariant of a pair of finite groups, *Indag. Math.* 18 (2007), 73-82.
- [11] A. R. Salemkar and S. Alizadeh Niri, Bounds for the dimension of the Schur multiplier of a pair of nilpotent Lie algebras, Asian-EurJ. Math. 5(4) (2012), (9 pages).
- [12] J. Wiegold, Multiplicators and groups with finite central factor-groups, Math. Z. 89 (1965), 345-347.