

## $k$ -distance enclaveless number of a graph

Doost Ali Mojdeh<sup>1</sup> and Iman Masoumi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,  
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Tafresh University, Tafresh, Iran

ABSTRACT. For an integer  $k \geq 1$ , a  $k$ -distance enclaveless number (or  $k$ -distance  $B$ -differential) of a connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is  $\Psi^k(G) = \max\{|(V - X) \cap N_{k,G}(X)| : X \subseteq V\}$ . In this paper, we establish upper bounds on the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number of a graph in terms of its diameter, radius and girth. Also, we prove that for connected graphs  $G$  and  $H$  with orders  $n$  and  $m$  respectively,  $\Psi^k(G \times H) \leq mn - n - m + \Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) + 1$ , where  $G \times H$  denotes the direct product of  $G$  and  $H$ . In the end of this paper, we show that the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number  $\Psi^k(T)$  of a tree  $T$  on  $n \geq k + 1$  vertices and with  $n_1$  leaves satisfies inequality  $\Psi^k(T) \leq \frac{k(2n-2+n_1)}{2k+1}$  and we characterize the extremal trees.

Keywords:  $k$ -distance enclaveless number, diameter, radius, girth, direct product.

2020 Mathematics subject classification: 05C69.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Distance in graphs is a fundamental concept in graph theory. Let  $G$  be a connected graph. The distance between two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ , denoted  $d_G(u, v)$ , is the length of a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path in  $G$ . The eccentricity  $\text{ecc}_G(v)$  of  $v$  in  $G$  is the distance between  $v$  and a vertex farthest from  $v$  in  $G$ . The minimum eccentricity among all vertices of

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: [damojdeh@umz.ac.ir](mailto:damojdeh@umz.ac.ir)  
Received: 03 June 2020  
Accepted: 02 August 2020

$G$  is the radius of  $G$ , denoted by  $rad(G)$ , while the maximum eccentricity among all vertices of  $G$  is the diameter of  $G$ , denoted by  $diam(G)$ . Thus, the diameter of  $G$  is the maximum distance among all pairs of vertices of  $G$ . A vertex  $v$  with  $ecc_G(v) = diam(G)$  is called a *peripheral vertex* of  $G$ . A *diametral path* in  $G$  is a shortest path in  $G$  whose length is equal to the diameter of the graph. Thus, a diametral path is a path of length  $diam(G)$  joining two peripheral vertices of  $G$ . If  $S$  is a set of vertices in  $G$ , then the *distance*,  $d_G(v, S)$ , from a vertex  $v$  to the set  $S$  is the minimum distance from  $v$  to a vertex of  $S$ ; that is,  $d_G(v, S) = \min\{d_G(u, v) : u \in S\}$ . In particular, if  $v \in S$ , then  $d(v, S) = 0$ . Enclaveless number ( $B$ -differential) of graphs is also very well studied in graph theory. An *enclaveless number* ( $B$ -differential) of a set  $X$  in a graph  $G$  is  $\Psi(X) = |bd(X)| = |B(X)| = |(V - X) \cap N_G(X)|$  so that  $B(X)$  is called *boundary* of  $X$ . The *enclaveless number* ( $B$ -differential) of  $G$ , denoted by  $\Psi(G)$ , is  $\Psi(G) = \max\{|B(X)| : X \subseteq V\}$ . In this paper, we start the study of  $k$ -distance enclaveless number in graphs which combines the concepts of both distance and enclaveless number in graphs [4]. Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $G$  be a graph. A  $k$ -distance enclaveless number ( $k$ -distance  $B$ -differential) of a set  $X$  in a graph  $G$  is  $\Psi^k(X) = |bd^k(X)| = |B^k(X)| = |(V - X) \cap N_{k,G}(X)|$  so that  $B^k(X)$  is called  $k$ -boundary of  $X$ . The  $k$ -distance enclaveless number ( $k$ -distance  $B$ -differential) of  $G$ , denoted by  $\Psi^k(G)$ , is  $\Psi^k(G) = \max\{|B^k(X)| : X \subseteq V\}$ . A set  $D$  is called  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$  if  $B^k(D) = V - D$ . A set  $D$  is called *maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$*  if  $\Psi^k(G) = |B^k(D)|$ . When  $k = 1$ , the 1-distance enclaveless number of  $G$  is precisely the enclaveless number of  $G$ ; that is,  $\Psi^1(G) = \Psi(G)$ . In 1977, Slater [6] introduced the concept of a enclaveless set (or  $B$ -differential set) in a graph.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple undirected graph with the set of vertices  $V = V(G)$  and the set of edges  $E = E(G)$ . We refer the reader to [1],[7] for any terminology and notation not here in. We denote minimum degree of a graph  $G$  with  $\delta(G)$  and maximum degree with  $\Delta(G)$ . The open neighborhood of a vertex  $v \in V$  is the set  $N(v) = \{u : uv \in E(G)\}$ , while the *closed neighborhood* of a vertex  $v \in V$  is  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The *open neighborhood* of a set  $S \subseteq V$  is the set  $N(S) = \cup_{v \in S} N(v)$ . The *closed neighborhood* of a set  $S \subseteq V$  is the set  $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$ . Let  $E_v$  be the set of edges incident with  $v$  in  $G$  that is,  $E_v = \{uv \in E(G) : u \in N(v)\}$ . We denote the degree of  $v$  by  $\deg_G(v) = |E_v|$ .

Let  $k$  be a positive integer. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the *open  $k$ -neighborhood*  $N_{k,G}(v)$  is the set  $\{u \in V(G) : u \neq v \text{ and } d(u, v) \leq k\}$

and the *closed  $k$ -neighborhood*  $N_{k,G}[v]$  is the set  $N_{k,G}(v) \cup \{v\}$ . The *open  $k$ -neighborhood*  $N_{k,G}(S)$  of a set  $S \subseteq V$  is the set  $\cup_{v \in S} N_{k,G}(v)$ , and the *closed  $k$ -neighborhood*  $N_{k,G}[S]$  of a set  $S \subseteq V$  is the set  $N_{k,G}(S) \cup S$ . The  *$k$ -degree of a vertex  $v$*  is defined as  $\delta_{k,G}(v) = \text{deg}_{k,G}(v) = |N_{k,G}(v)|$ . The *minimum and maximum  $k$ -degree* of a graph  $G$  are denoted by  $\delta_k(G)$  and  $\Delta_k(G)$ , respectively. For a non-empty subset  $S \subseteq V$ , and any vertex  $v \in V$  we denote by  $N_{k,S}(v)$  the set of  $k$ -neighbors  $v$  has in  $S$ :  $N_{k,S}(v) := \{u \in S : d(u, v) \leq k\}$  and  $\delta_{k,S}(v) = |N_{k,S}(v)|$ . The graph  $G$  is called *distance  $k$ -regular* if  $\delta_k(G) = \Delta_k(G)$ . The  *$k$ -th power  $G^k$*  of a graph  $G$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G^k) = V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G^k) = \{xy : d(x, y) \leq k\}$ . Now clearly, we have  $N_{k,G}(v) = N_{1,G^k}(v) = N_{G^k}(v)$ ,  $N_{k,G}[v] = N_{1,G^k}[v] = N_{G^k}[v]$ ,  $\text{deg}_{k,G}(v) = \text{deg}_{1,G^k}(v) = \text{deg}_{G^k}(v)$ ,  $\delta_k(G) = \delta_1(G^k) = \delta(G^k)$  and  $\Delta_k(G) = \Delta_1(G^k) = \Delta(G^k)$ . A vertex  $v$  is called  *$k$ -adjacent to (or  $k$ -neighbor with)* a vertex  $w$  if  $d(v, w) = k$ . A vertex of degree one is called a leaf and the set of leaves of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $\Omega(G)$ . The number of leaves  $\Omega(G)$  will be denoted by  $n_1(G)$ . For a tree  $T$  and an edge  $xy \in E(T)$ , let  $T_x$  and  $T_y$  denote the components of  $T - xy$  in which the vertices  $x$  and  $y$  belong to  $T_x$  and  $T_y$ , respectively. A complete bipartite graph  $K_{m,n}$  with partite sets  $X, Y$  such that  $|X| = m$  and  $|Y| = n$ . If  $m = 1$ , then  $K_{1,n}$  is called an star with  $n + 1$  vertices. The edge subdivision in a graph  $G$  is the following operation; remove one edge  $e = xy$  of  $G$  and add a new vertex  $z$  and the edges  $xz$  and  $zy$ . A  $k$ -times subdivided star  $SS_t^k$  is obtained from a star  $K_{1,t}$  by subdividing each edge by exactly  $k$  vertices.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we study some elementary results on  $k$ -distance enclaveless number of  $G$ . We establish upper bounds on the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number of a graph in terms of its diameter, radius and girth in Section 3. Also, we prove that for the connected graphs  $G$  and  $H$  with orders  $n$  and  $m$  respectively,  $\Psi^k(G \times H) \leq mn - n - m + \Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) + 1$  in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we show that the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number  $\Psi^k(T)$  of a tree  $T$  on  $n \geq k + 1$  vertices and with  $n_1$  leaves satisfies inequality  $\Psi^k(T) \leq \frac{k(2n-2+n_1)}{2k+1}$  and we characterize the extremal trees.

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

In order to prove recent inequality, the techniques of article [3] have been used. It is well known that, if  $H$  is a subgraph of  $G$  and  $u, v$  be two vertices in  $G$ , then  $d_G(u, v) \leq d_H(u, v)$  and  $N_{k,H}(u) \subseteq N_{k,G}(u)$ . Therefore we have following observation.

**Observation 2.1.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , if  $H$  is a spanning subgraph of a graph  $G$ , then  $\Psi^k(G) \leq \Psi^k(H)$ .*

The following theorem shows that the study of  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of a graph  $G$  is lead to the study of  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of a spanning tree  $T$  of  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , every connected graph  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$  such that  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D = \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ . Thus,  $|D| = t = \Psi^k(G)$ . We now partition the vertex set  $V(G)$  into  $t$  sets  $V_1, \dots, V_t$  as follows. Initially, we let  $V_i = \{v_i\}$  for all  $i \in [t]$ . We then consider sequentially the vertices not in  $D$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(G) - D$ , we select a vertex  $v_i \in D$  at minimum distance from  $v$  in  $G$  and add the vertex  $v$  to the set  $V_i$ . We note that if  $v \in V(G) - D$  and  $v \in V_i$  for some  $i \in [t]$ , then  $d_G(v, v_i) = d_G(v, D)$ , although the vertex  $v_i$  is not necessarily the unique vertex of  $D$  at minimum distance from  $v$  in  $G$ . Further, since  $D$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ , we note that  $d_G(v, v_i) \leq k$ . For each  $i \in [t]$ , let  $T_i$  be a spanning tree of  $G[V_i]$  that is distance preserving from the vertex  $v_i$ ; that is,  $V(T_i) = V_i$  and for every vertex  $v \in V(T_i)$ , we have  $d_{T_i}(v, v_i) = d_G(v, v_i)$ . We now let  $T$  be the spanning tree of  $G$  obtained from the disjoint union of the  $t$  trees  $T_1, \dots, T_t$  by adding  $t - 1$  edges of  $G$ . We remark that these added  $t - 1$  edges exist as  $G$  is connected. We now consider an arbitrary vertex,  $v$  say, of  $G$ . The vertex  $v \in V_i$  for some  $i \in [t]$ . Thus,  $d_T(v, v_i) \leq d_{T_i}(v, v_i) = d_G(v, v_i) = d_G(v, D) \leq k$ . Therefore, the set  $D$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $T$ , and so  $\Psi^k(T) \leq |D| = \Psi^k(G)$ . However, by Observation 2.1,  $\Psi^k(G) \leq \Psi^k(T)$ . Consequently,  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(G)$ .  $\square$

We shall also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph that is not a tree, and let  $C$  be a shortest cycle in  $G$ . If  $v$  is a vertex of  $G$  outside of  $C$  that  $|B^k(\{v\}) \cap V(C)| \geq 2k$ , then there exist two vertices  $u, w \in V(C) \cup B^k(\{v\})$  such that a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path does not contain  $w$  and a shortest  $(v, w)$ -path does not contain  $u$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $v$  is not on  $C$ , it has a distance of at least 1 to every vertex of  $C$ . Let  $u$  be a vertex of  $C$  at minimum distance from  $v$  in  $G$ . We put  $Q = V(C) \cap B^k(\{v\})$ . Thus,  $Q \subseteq V(C)$  and, by assumption,  $|Q| \geq 2k$ . Among all vertices in  $Q$ , let  $w \in Q$  be chosen to have maximum distance from  $u$  on the cycle  $C$ . Since there are  $2k - 1$  vertices within distance  $k - 1$  from  $u$  on  $C$ , the vertex  $w$  has distance at least  $k$  from  $u$  on the cycle  $C$ . Let  $P_u$  be a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path and let  $P_w$  be a shortest  $(v, w)$ -path in  $G$ .

If  $w \in V(P_u)$ , then  $d_G(u, w) < d_G(u, v) \leq k$ , contradicting our choice of the vertex  $u$ . Therefore,  $w \notin V(P_u)$ . Suppose that  $u \in V(P_w)$ . Since  $C$  is a shortest cycle in  $G$ , the distance between  $u$  and  $w$  on  $C$  is the same as the distance between  $u$  and  $w$  in  $G$ . Thus,  $d_G(u, w) = d_C(u, w)$ , implying that  $d_G(v, w) = d_G(v, u) + d_G(u, w) \geq 1 + d_G(u, w) = 1 + d_C(u, w) \geq 1 + k$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $u \notin V(P_w)$ .  $\square$

### 3. UPPER BOUND OF THE $k$ -DISTANCE ENCLAVELESS IN A GRAPH

In this section we provide various upper bounds on the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number for general graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , if  $G$  is a connected graph with diameter  $d$ , then*

$$\Psi^k(G) \leq \frac{2kn + n - d - 1}{2k + 1}.$$

*This bound is sharp.*

*Proof.* Let  $P : u_0u_1 \dots u_d$  be a diametral path in  $G$ , joining two peripheral vertices  $u = u_0$  and  $v = u_d$  of  $G$ . Thus, the length of  $P$  is  $\text{diam}(G) = d$ . We claim that for every vertex  $v \in G$ ,  $|V(P) \cap B^k(\{v\})| \leq 2k + 1$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a vertex  $q \in V(G)$  so that we have,  $|V(P) \cap B^k(\{q\})| \geq 2k + 2$ . (Possibly,  $q \in V(P)$ .) Now we put  $Q = V(P) \cap B^k(\{q\})$ . Then  $|Q| \geq 2k + 2$ . Let  $i$  and  $j$  be the smallest and greatest integers respectively, such that  $u_i, u_j \in Q$ . We note that  $Q \subseteq \{u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j\}$ . Thus,  $2k + 2 \leq |Q| \leq j - i + 1$ . Since  $P$  is a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path in  $G$ , we therefore note that  $d_G(u_i, u_j) = d_P(u_i, u_j) = j - i \geq 2k + 1$ . Let  $P_i$  and  $P_j$  be shortest  $(u, q)$ -path and  $(q, v)$ -path in  $G$ . Since  $u_i, u_j \in B^k(\{q\})$ , both paths  $P_u$  and  $P_v$  have length at most  $k$ . Therefore, the  $(u_i, u_j)$ -path obtained by the following path  $P_i$  from  $u_i$  to  $q$ , and then proceeding along the path  $P_j$  from  $q$  to  $u_j$ , has length at most  $2k$ , implying that  $d_G(u_i, u_j) \leq 2k$ , a contradiction. Therefore, for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ ,  $|V(P) \cap B^k(v)| \leq 2k + 1$ . Let  $S$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ . Thus,  $|S| = \Psi^k(G)$ . For every vertex  $x \in S$ , we have  $|V(P) \cap B^k(\{x\})| \leq 2k + 1$ , and so  $|V(P) \cap B^k(S)| \leq (n - |S|)(2k + 1)$ . However, since  $S$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$  and for any vertex  $y \in P$ ,  $y \in B^k(S)$ , thus we have,  $|B^k(S) \cap V(P)| = d + 1$ . Therefore,  $(n - |S|)(2k + 1) \geq d + 1$ , or, equivalently,  $\Psi^k(G) = |S| \leq (2kn + n - d - 1)/(2k + 1)$ .

For seeing the sharpness of bound, let  $G$  be a path,  $v_1v_2 \dots v_n$ , of order  $n = \ell(2k + 1)$  for some  $\ell \geq 1$ . Let  $d = \text{diam}(G)$ , and so  $d = n - 1 = \ell(2k + 1) - 1$ . It is clear  $\Psi^k(G) \leq \frac{(2kn+n-d-1)}{2k+1} = 2k\ell = n - \ell$ .

FIGURE 1. For  $n = 4$ ,  $V_2 = V_3 = V_4 = K_2$ 

The set

$$S = \cup_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \{v_{v_{k+1}+i(2k+1)}\}$$

is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ , and so  $\Psi^k(G) \geq |S| = n - \ell$ . Consequently,  $\Psi^k(G) = n - \ell = \frac{(2kn+n-d-1)}{2k+1}$ . We state this formally as follows.  $\square$

For the family of the graphs we obtain the bound in Theorem 3.1. For this, let  $P = v_1v_2 \dots v_n$  be a path. By replacing each vertex  $v_i$ , for  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ , on the path with a clique (clique  $V_i$  corresponds to vertex  $v_i$ ) of size at least  $\delta \geq 1$ , and adding all edges between  $v_1$  and vertices in  $V_2$ , adding all edges between  $v_n$  and vertices in  $V_{n-1}$ , and adding all edges between vertices in  $V_i$  and  $V_{i+1}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ , we obtain a graph with minimum degree  $\delta$  achieving the upper bound of Theorem 3.1, see Figure 1.

In general, by applying Theorem 3.1, the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number of a cycle  $C_n$  or path  $P_n$  of order  $n \geq 3$ , are easily obtained.

**Proposition 3.2.** For  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\Psi^k(P_n) = \Psi^k(C_n) = n - \lceil \frac{n}{2k+1} \rceil$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following upper bound on the  $k$ -distance enclaveless number of a graph in terms of its radius.

**Corollary 3.3.** For  $k \geq 1$ , if  $G$  is a connected graph with radius  $r$ , then

$$\Psi^k(G) \leq \frac{2kn + n - 2r}{2k + 1}.$$

This bound is sharp.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.2, the graph  $G$  has a spanning tree  $T$  such that  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(G)$ . Since adding edges to a graph cannot increase its

radius,  $rad(G) \leq rad(T)$ . Since  $T$  is a tree, we note that  $diam(T) \geq 2rad(T) - 1$ . Applying Theorem 3.1 to the tree  $T$ , we have that

$$\Psi^k(G) = \Psi^k(T) \leq \frac{2kn+n-d-1}{2k+1} \leq \frac{2kn+n-2r+1-1}{2k+1} = \frac{2kn+n-r}{2k+1}.$$

For seeing the upper bound, let  $G$  be a path  $P_n$  of order  $n = 2\ell(2k+1)$  for some integer  $\ell \geq 1$ . Let  $d = diam(G)$  and let  $r = rad(G)$ , and so  $d = 2\ell(2k+1) - 1$  and  $r = \ell(2k+1)$ . In particular, we note that  $d = 2r - 1$ . By Theorem 3.1,  $\Psi^k(G) = \frac{2kn+n-d-1}{2k+1} = \frac{2kn+n-2r}{2k+1}$ . Then by replacing each internal vertices on the path with a clique of size at least  $\delta \geq 1$ , we can obtain a graph with minimum degree  $\delta$  achieving the upper bound.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *For  $k \geq 1$ , if  $G$  is a connected graph with girth  $g$ , then*

$$\Psi^k(G) \leq \frac{2kn + n - g}{2k + 1}.$$

*Proof.* If  $g \leq 2k + 1$ , then upper bound holds by using Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 3.3. Let  $g \geq 2k + 2$ , and  $C$  be a shortest cycle in  $G$ , of length  $g$ . We note that the distance between two vertices in  $C$  is exactly equal to the distance between them in  $G$ . Now we consider the following two cases, depending on the value of the girth of graphs.

Case 1.  $2k + 2 \leq g \leq 4k + 2$ . In this case, we show that  $\Psi^k(G) \leq n - \lceil \frac{g}{2k+1} \rceil = n - 2$ . Suppose to the contrary, that  $\Psi^k(G) = n - 1$ . Then,  $G$  contains a vertex  $v$  that is within distance  $k$  from every vertex of  $G$ . In particular,  $d(u, v) \leq k$  for every vertex  $u \in V(C)$ . If  $v \in V(C)$ , then, since  $C$  is a shortest cycle in  $G$ , we note that  $d_C(u, v) = d_G(u, v) \leq k$  for every vertex  $u \in V(C)$ . However, the lower bound condition on the girth, namely  $g \geq 2k + 2$ , implies that no vertex on the cycle  $C$  is within distance  $k$  in  $C$  from every vertex of  $C$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $v \notin V(C)$ . By Lemma 2.3, there exist two vertices  $u, w \in V(C)$  such that a shortest  $(v, u)$ -path does not contain  $w$  and a shortest  $(v, w)$ -path does not contain  $u$ . We show that, we can choose  $u$  and  $w$  to be adjacent vertices on  $C$ . Let  $w$  be a vertex of  $C$  at maximum distance, say  $d_w$ , from  $v$  in  $G$ . Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  be the two neighbors of  $w$  on the cycle  $C$ . If  $d_G(v, w_1) = d_w$ , then we can take  $u = w_1$ , and the desired property (that a shortest  $(v, u)$ -path does not contain  $w$  and a shortest  $(v, w)$ -path does not contain  $u$ ) holds. Hence we may assume that  $d_G(v, w_1) \neq d_w$ . By our choice of the vertex  $w$ , we note that  $d_G(v, w_1) \leq d_w$ , implying that  $d_G(v, w_1) = d_w - 1$ . Similarly, we may assume that  $d_G(v, w_2) = d_w - 1$ . Let  $P_w$  be a shortest  $(v, w)$ -path. At most one of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  belong to the path  $P_w$ . Renaming  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , if necessary, we may assume that

$w_1$  does not belong to the path  $P_w$ . In this case, letting  $u = w_1$  and letting  $P_u$  be a shortest  $(v, u)$ -path, we note that  $w \notin V(P_u)$ . As observed earlier,  $u \notin V(P_w)$ . This shows that  $u$  and  $w$  can indeed be chosen to be neighbors on  $C$ . Let  $x$  be the last vertex in common with the  $(v, u)$ -path  $P_u$ , and the  $(v, w)$ -path,  $P_w$ . Possibly,  $x = v$ . Then, the cycle obtained from the  $(x, u)$ -section of  $P_u$  by proceeding along the edge  $uw$  to  $w$ , and then the following  $(w, x)$ -section of  $P_w$  back to  $x$ , has length at most  $d_G(v, u) + 1 + d_G(v, w) \leq 2k + 1$ , contradicting the fact that the girth  $g \geq 2k + 2$ . Therefore,  $\Psi^k(G) \leq n - 2$ , as desired.

Case 2.  $g \geq 4k + 3$ . Let  $S$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ , and so  $|S| = \Psi^k(G)$ . Let  $K = S \cap V(C)$  and let  $L = S - V(C)$ . Thus,  $S = K \cup L$ . If  $L = \emptyset$ , then  $S = K$  and the set  $K$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $C$ , implying by Proposition 3.2, that  $\Psi^k(G) = |S| = |K| \leq \Psi^k(C_g) = n - \lceil \frac{g}{2k+1} \rceil$ , and the theorem holds. Hence we may assume that  $|L| \geq 1$ . We wish to show that  $|K| + |L| = |S| \leq n - \lceil \frac{g}{2k+1} \rceil$ . Suppose to the contrary that,

$$|K| \geq n - \lceil \frac{g}{1+2k} \rceil + 1 - |L|.$$

As observed earlier, the distance between two vertices in  $V(C)$  is exactly the same in  $C$  as in  $G$ . This implies that each vertex of  $K$  (recall that  $K \subseteq V(C)$ ) is within distance  $k$  from exactly  $2k + 1$  vertices of  $C$ . Thus, the set  $B^k(K) \cap V(C)$  has at least  $|K|(2k + 1)$  vertices where

$$\begin{aligned} |K|(2k + 1) &\geq (n - \lceil \frac{g}{1+2k} \rceil + 1 - |L|)(2k + 1) \geq \\ (n - \frac{g+2k}{2k+1} + 1 - |L|)(2k + 1) &= 2kn + n - g + 1 - |L|(2k + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, clearly we have  $|K|(2k + 1) \geq n - g + 1 - |L|(2k + 1)$ . Consequently, since  $|V(C^c)| = n - g$ , there are at most  $-1 + |L|(2k + 1)$  vertices of  $V(C)^c$  that do not belong to set  $B^k(K)$ , and so they must belong to set  $B^k(L)$ . Thus, by the Pigeonhole Principle, there is at least one vertex, say  $v$ , in  $L$  that  $|B^k(\{v\}) \cap V(C)| \geq 2k$ . By Lemma 2.3, there exist two vertices  $u, w \in V(C)$  that are both  $u, w \in B^k(\{v\})$  and such that a shortest  $(u, v)$ -path,  $P_u$  say, (from  $u$  to  $v$ ) does not contain  $w$  and a shortest  $(w, v)$ -path,  $P_w$  say, (from  $w$  to  $v$ ) does not contain  $u$ . Analogously as in the proof of Lemma 2.3, we can choose the vertex  $u$  to be a vertex of  $C$  at minimum distance from  $v$  in  $G$ . Thus, the vertex  $u$  is the only vertex on the cycle  $C$  that belongs to the path  $P_u$ . Combining the paths  $P_u$  and  $P_w$  produces a  $(u, w)$ -walk of length at most  $d_G(u, v) + d_G(v, w) \leq 2k$ , implying that  $d_G(u, w) \leq 2k$ . Since  $C$  is a shortest cycle in  $G$ , we therefore have that  $d_C(u, w) = d_G(u, w) \leq 2k$ . The cycle  $C$  yields two  $(w, u)$ -paths. Let  $P_{wu}$  be the  $(w, u)$ -path on the cycle  $C$  of shorter length

(starting at  $w$  and ending at  $u$ ). Thus,  $P_{wu}$  has length  $d_C(u, w) \leq 2k$ . Note that the path  $P_{wu}$  belongs entirely on the cycle  $C$ . Let  $x \in V(C)$  be the last vertex in common with the  $(w, v)$ -path,  $P_w$ , and the  $(w, u)$ -path,  $P_{wu}$ . Possibly,  $x = w$ . However, note that  $x \neq u$  since  $u \notin V(P_w)$ . Let  $y$  be the first vertex in common with the  $(x, v)$ -subsection of the path  $P_w$  and with the  $(u, v)$ -path  $P_u$ . Possibly,  $y = v$ . However, note that  $y \neq x$  since  $x \notin V(P_u)$  and  $V(P_u) \cap V(C) = \{u\}$ . Using the  $(x, u)$ -subsection of the path  $P_{wu}$ , the  $(x, y)$ -subsection of the path  $P_w$ , and the  $(u, y)$ -subsection of the path  $P_u$  produces a cycle in  $G$  of length at most  $d_G(u, v) + d_G(w, v) + d_G(u, w) \leq k + k + 2k = 4k$ , contradicting the fact that the girth  $g \geq 4k + 3$ . Therefore,  $\Psi^k(G) = |S| = |K| + |L|$ , as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. DIRECT PRODUCT GRAPHS

The direct product graph,  $G \times H$ , of graphs  $G$  and  $H$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and with edges  $(g_1, h_1)(g_2, h_2)$ , where  $g_1g_2 \in E(G)$  and  $h_1h_2 \in E(H)$ . Let  $A \subseteq V(G \times H)$ . The projection of  $A$  onto  $G$  is defined as  $P_G(A) = \{g \in V(G) : (g, h) \in A \text{ for some } h \in V(H)\}$ . Similarly, the projection of  $A$  onto  $H$  is defined as  $P_H(A) = \{h \in V(H) : (g, h) \in A \text{ for some } g \in V(G)\}$ . For a detailed discussion on direct product graphs, we refer the reader to the handbook on graph products [2]. Recall that for every graph  $G$ ,  $\Psi^1(G) = \Psi(G)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $G$  and  $H$  be connected graphs. If  $D$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G \times H$ , then  $P_G(D)$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$  and  $P_H(D)$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D \subseteq V(G \times H)$  be a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G \times H$ . We firstly show that  $P_G(D)$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ . Or, equivalently, we have to show that  $B^k(P_G(D)) = V(G) - P_G(D)$ . If  $g \in B^k(P_G(D))$ , then we have clearly,  $0 < d_G(g, P_G(D)) \leq k$ . Thus,  $g \notin P_G(D)$  and then  $B^k(P_G(D)) \subseteq V(G) - P_G(D)$ . Hence, we assume that  $g \in V(G) - P_G(D)$ . Let  $h$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $V(H)$ . Since  $g \notin P_G(D)$ , then  $(g, h) \notin D$ . However, the set  $D$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G \times H$ , and so  $(g, h) \in B^k(D)$ ; that is,  $d_{G \times H}((g, h), D) \leq k$ . Let  $(g_0, h_0), (g_1, h_1), \dots, (g_r, h_r)$  be a shortest path from  $(g, h)$  to  $D$  in  $G \times H$ , where  $(g, h) = (g_0, h_0)$  and  $(g_r, h_r) \in D$ . By assumption,  $1 \leq r \leq k$ . For  $i \in \{0, \dots, r - 1\}$ , the vertices  $(g_i, h_i)$  and  $(g_{i+1}, h_{i+1})$  are adjacent in  $G \times H$ . Hence, by the definition of the direct product graph, the vertices  $g_i$  and  $g_{i+1}$  are adjacent in  $G$ , implying that  $g_0g_1\dots g_r$  is a  $(g_0, g_r)$ -walk in  $G$  of length  $r$ . This in turn implies that there is a  $(g_0, g_r)$ -path in  $G$  of length  $r$ . Recall that  $g = g_0$  and  $1 \leq r \leq k$ . Since  $(g_r, h_r) \in D$ , the vertex  $g_r \in P_G(D)$ . Hence, there is a path from  $g$  to a vertex of  $P_G(D)$

in  $G$  of length at most  $k$ . Therefore,  $g \in B^k(P_G(D))$ . Analogously, the set  $P_H(D)$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $G$  and  $H$  are connected graphs of the orders  $n$  and  $m$ , respectively. Then*

$$\Psi^k(G \times H) \leq mn - n - m + \Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) + 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $D \subseteq V(G \times H)$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G \times H$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $|D| \geq mn - n - m + \Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) + 2$ . We will refer to this inequality as (\*). By Lemma 4.1,  $P_G(D)$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$  and  $P_H(D)$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $H$ . Therefore, we have that  $|D| \leq n - |P_G(D)| \leq \Psi^k(G)$  and  $|D| \leq m - |P_H(D)| \leq \Psi^k(H)$ . If  $\Psi^k(G) = n - 1$ , then by (\*),  $\Psi^k(H) \geq |D| \geq mn - m + 1 + \Psi^k(H)$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\Psi^k(G) \leq n - 2$ . Analogously,  $\Psi^k(H) \leq m - 2$ . Recall that  $n - |P_G(D)| \leq \Psi^k(G)$ . We now remove vertices from the set  $P_G(D)$  until we obtain a set,  $D_G$  say, of cardinality exactly  $n - 1 - \Psi^k(G)$ . Thus,  $D_G$  is a proper subset of  $P_G(D)$  of cardinality  $n - 1 - \Psi^k(G)$ . Since  $D_G$  is not a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G$ , there exists a vertex  $g \in V(G)$  such that  $g \notin B^k(D_G)$ ; that is,  $d_G(g, D_G) > k$ . Let  $D_G = \{g_1, \dots, g_t\}$ , where  $t = n - 1 - \Psi^k(G) \geq 1$ . For each  $i \in [t]$ , there exists a (not necessarily unique) vertex  $h_i \in V(H)$  such that  $(g_i, h_i) \in D$  (since  $D_G \subseteq P_G(D)$ ). We now consider the set  $D_0 = \{(g_1, h_1), \dots, (g_t, h_t)\}$ , and note that  $D_0 \subset D$  and  $|D_0| = n - 1 - \Psi^k(G)$ . By (\*), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} m - |P_H(D - D_0)| &\geq |D - D_0| = |D| - |D_0| \geq \\ &(mn - n - m + \Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) + 2) - (n - 1 - \Psi^k(G)) \\ &= mn - 2n - m + 3 + 2\Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) > \Psi^k(H). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a vertex  $h \in V(H)$  such that  $h \notin B^k(P_H(D - D_0))$ ; that is,  $d_H(h, P_H(D - D_0)) > k$ . We now consider the vertex  $(g, h) \in V(G \times H)$ . Since  $D$  is a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $G \times H$ , then there exists the vertex  $(g^*, h^*) \in D$  such that  $(g, h) \in B^k\{(g^*, h^*)\}$ . A similar proof as the proof of Lemma 4.1 shows that  $d_G(g, g^*) \leq k$  and  $d_H(h, h^*) \leq k$ . If  $(g^*, h^*) \in D - D_0$ , then  $h^* \in P_H(D - D_0)$ , implying that  $d_H(h, P_H(D - D_0)) \leq d_H(h, h^*) \leq k$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $(g^*, h^*) \in D_0$ . This implies that  $g^* \in P_G(D_0) = D_G$ . Thus,  $d_G(g, D_G) \leq d_G(g, g^*) \leq k$ , contradicting the fact that  $d_G(g, D_G) > k$ . Therefore, (\*) inequality that  $|D| \geq mn - n - m + \Psi^k(G) + \Psi^k(H) + 2$  must be false, and the result follows.  $\square$

### 5. UPPER BOUND FOR $k$ -DISTANCE ENCLAVELESS NUMBER OF A TREE

In this section we study the upper bound of  $k$ -distance enclaveless number of trees.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n(T) \geq k + 1$  and with  $n_1(T)$  leaves. Then*

$$kn_1(T) \geq 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T).$$

*Proof.* We use induction on  $n$ , the order of a tree. The result is trivial for a tree of order  $k + 1$  due to  $diam(T) \leq k$  or equivalently  $\Psi^k(T) = n - 1$ . Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n > k + 1$ ,  $diam(T) \geq 2k + 1$  and assume that  $kn_1(T') \geq 2k - 2kn(T') + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T')$  for each tree  $T'$  with  $k + 1 < n(T') \leq n - 1$ . Let  $D$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $T$  having property that, let  $P = v_0v_1 \cdots v_l$  be a longest path in  $T$  and let  $T' = T - \{v_0\}$  be the subtree of  $T$ . Clearly, we have  $l \geq 2k + 1$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $P$  is chosen in such a way that  $d_{k,T}(v_k)$  is as large as possible. We consider two cases:  $d_{k,T}(v_k) > k + 1$  or  $d_{k,T}(v_k) = k + 1$ .

Case 1.  $d_{k,T}(v_k) > k + 1$ .

In  $T'$  we have  $kn_1(T') \geq 2k - 2kn(T') + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T')$  (by induction), and as  $n_1(T') = n_1(T) - 1$ ,  $n(T') = n(T) - 1$  and  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T') + 1$ , therefore,  $k(n_1(T) - 1) \geq 2k - 2k(n(T) - 1) + (2k + 1)(\Psi^k(T) - 1) = 2k - 2kn(T) + 2k + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T) - 2k - 1$  or equivalently,  $kn_1(T) \geq 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T) + k - 1 \geq 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$  due to  $k \geq 1$ .

Case 2. If  $d_{k,T}(v_k) = k + 1$ , we consider two subcases:  $\Psi^k(T) < \Psi^k(T') + 1$  or  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T') + 1$ . there are two subcases:

Subcase 2.1. If  $\Psi^k(T) < \Psi^k(T') + 1$ , then since clearly,  $\Psi^k(T') \leq \Psi^k(T)$ , we conclude  $\Psi^k(T') = \Psi^k(T)$ . By induction,  $kn_1(T') \geq 2k - 2kn(T') + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T')$  and consequently  $kn_1(T) \geq 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$  as  $n_1(T) = n_1(T')$ ,  $n(T') = n(T) - 1$  and  $\Psi^k(T') = \Psi^k(T)$ .

Subcase 2.2. If  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T') + 1$ , then  $v_{k+1} \notin N_{k,T}(\Omega(T))$  (otherwise  $D - \{v_k\}$  would be a  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $T$  and  $1 + \Psi^k(T') > \Psi^k(T)$ ) and therefore  $l \geq 2k + 2$ . By  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  we denote the subtrees of  $T - v_{k+1}v_{k+2}$  to which belong vertices  $v_{k+2}$  and  $v_{k+1}$ , respectively. If  $n(T_1) = k + 1$ , then certainly  $kn_1(T_1) \geq 2k - 2kn(T_1) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T_1)$ . Thus assume that  $n(T_1) \geq k + 2$ . Let  $\Omega_2$  denotes the set  $\Omega(T_2) \cap \Omega(T)$  and let  $D_2$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $T_2$  which does not contain  $v_{k+1}$ . Since  $d_{k,T}(v_k) = k + 1$ , from the choice of  $P$ , it follows that all  $k$ -neighbours of  $v_{k+1}$  in  $T_2$  are of degree  $k + 1$  and this implies  $|\Omega_2| = |D_2|$ . It is easy to observe that,  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T_1) + \Psi^k(T_2) = \Psi^k(T_1) + |D_2|$  and  $n(T) = n(T_1) + |\Omega_2| + |D_2| + 1$ . If  $v_{k+2}$  is an leaf of  $T_1$ , then

we have  $n_1(T) = n_1(T_1) + |\Omega_2| - 1$ , otherwise  $n_1(T) = n_1(T_1) + |\Omega_2| \geq n_1(T_1) + |\Omega_2| - 1$  as well. Now, since  $n(T_1) \geq k+2$ ; we have by induction  $kn_1(T_1) \geq 2k - 2kn(T_1) + (2k+1)\Psi^k(T_1)$ . In both cases, for  $n(T_1) = k+1$  and for  $n(T_1) \geq k+2$  we get  $2k - 2kn(T_1) + (2k+1)\Psi^k(T_1) \leq kn_1(T_1) \leq kn_1(T) - k|\Omega_2| + k$ . Thus  $2k - 2k(n(T) - |\Omega_2| - |D_2| - 1) + (2k+1)(\Psi^k(T) - |D_2|) \leq kn_1(T_1) \leq kn_1(T) - k|\Omega_2| + k$  and  $2k - 2kn(T) + (2k+1)\Psi^k(T) \leq 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k+1)\Psi^k(T) + k(|\Omega_2| + 1) - |D_2| \leq kn_1(T)$ .  $\square$

By  $\mathfrak{R}$  we denote the family of all trees in which the distance between any two distinct leaves is equivalent to  $2k$  modulo  $2k+1$ ; i.e., a tree  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$  if  $d(x, y) \equiv 2k \pmod{2k+1}$  for two distinct vertices  $x, y \in \Omega(T)$ . The next lemma describes main properties of trees belonging to  $\mathfrak{R}$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *If  $T$  is a tree belonging to the family  $\mathfrak{R}$  and  $\Psi^k(T) < n-1$ , then there exists an edge  $xy$  in  $T$  such that both  $T_x$  and  $T_y$  belong to  $\mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T_x) + \Psi^k(T_y) =$  and  $n_1(T) = n_1(T_x) + n_1(T_y) - 2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$  with  $\Psi^k(T) \leq n-2$  and let  $P = v_0v_1 \dots v_l$  be a longest path in  $T$ . In addition, let  $D$  be a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $T$  containing the vertex  $v_k$ . Then  $l \equiv 2k \pmod{2k+1}$ ,  $l \geq 4k+1$  and  $v_k \in D$ . We will show that  $d(v_{k+1}) = d(v_{k+2}) = \dots = d(v_{3k}) = 2$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $N(v_i) - V(P) \neq \emptyset$  for some  $i \in \{k+1, k+2, \dots, 3k\}$ . Then there exists a leaf  $u \in \Omega(T)$  such that  $d(u, v_i) = d(u, P) > 0$ . In order to derive a contradiction, we will compute the possible values for  $i$ . We have  $d(u, v_i) = d(u, v_0) - d(v, v_0) = d(u, v_0) - i$  and  $d(v_i, v_l) = d(v_0, v_l) - d(v_0, v_i) = d(v_0, v_l) - i$ . It follows that  $d(u, v_l) = d(u, v_i) + d(v_i, v_l) = d(u, v_0) + d(v_0, v_l) - 2i$ . Since  $v_0, v_l$  and  $u$  are leaves and  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$ , it follows that  $2i \equiv 2k \pmod{2k+1}$ . The latter together with  $k+1 \leq i \leq 3k$  leads immediately to a contradiction. It follows that  $d(v_{k+1}) = d(v_{k+2}) = \dots = d(v_{3k}) = 2$  which means we can choose  $D$  such that  $v_{3k+l} \in D$ . Let us remove the edge  $xy = v_{2k}v_{2k+l}$  from  $T$ . Then  $n_1(T) = n_1(T_x) + n_1(T_y) - 2$ ,  $\Psi^k(T_x) = n-1$  and  $D - v_k$  is a maximum  $k$ -distance enclaveless set of  $T_y$ . Thus,  $\Psi^k(T_x) + \Psi^k(T_y) = \Psi^k(T)$ . Since  $T_x = SS_t^{k-1}$  is a star with all edges  $(k-1)$ -times subdivided,  $T_x \in \mathfrak{R}$ . As  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$ , we have  $d(v_0, v) = 2k \pmod{2k+1}$  for every vertex  $v_0 \neq v \in \Omega(T)$ . Since  $d(v_0, v_{2k+1}) = 2k+1$ , we obtain  $d(v_{2k+1}, v) = 2k \pmod{2k+1}$  for every vertex  $v_{2k+1} \neq v \in \Omega(T_y)$  and consequently,  $T_y \in \mathfrak{R}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using Lemma 5.2, we will now characterize the class of trees  $T$  which fulfill the equality  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k+1)\Psi^k(T)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $T$  is a tree, then  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k+1)\Psi^k(T)$  if and only if  $T$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$ . If  $\Psi^k(T) = n - 1$ , then  $T = SS_t^{k-1}$  is a star with each edge  $(k - 1)$ -times subdivided and  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$  is obvious. Assume now that  $\Psi^k(T) \leq n - 2$  and that  $kn_1(T') = 2k - 2kn(T') + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T')$  for every tree  $T' \in \mathfrak{R}$  with  $\Psi^k(T) < \Psi^k(T') + 1$ . According to Lemma 5.2, there exists an edge  $xy$  in  $T$  such that  $T_x, T_y \in \mathfrak{R}$ ,  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T_x) + \Psi^k(T_y)$  and  $n_1(T) = n_1(T_x) + n_1(T_y) - 2$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $kn_1(T_x) = 2k - 2kn(T_x) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T_x)$  and  $kn_1(T_y) = 2k - 2kn(T_y) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T_y)$ . By adding these equalities we finally conclude that  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$ . Suppose second that  $T$  fulfills the equality  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$ . If  $\Psi^k(T) = n(T) - 1$ , then the equality yields  $kn_1(T) = n(T) - 1$ . This together with  $diam(T) \leq 2k$  implies that  $T = SS_t^{k-1}$  is a star with each edge  $(k - 1)$ -times subdivided and  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$  is obvious. Now let  $T$  be a tree with  $\Psi^k(T) < n - 1$  that fulfills the equality  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$  and assume that  $T' \in \mathfrak{R}$  for all trees  $T'$  with  $\Psi^k(T) < \Psi^k(T') + 1$  and  $kn_1(T') = 2k - 2kn(T') + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T')$ . According to Lemma 5.2 there exists an edge  $xy$  in  $T$  such that  $\Psi^k(T) = \Psi^k(T_x) + \Psi^k(T_y)$ . Since  $kn_1(T) = 2k - 2kn(T) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T)$ , it follows that  $n_1(T) = n_1(T_x) + n_1(T_y) - 2$ ,  $kn_1(T_x) = 2k - 2kn(T_x) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T_x)$  and  $kn_1(T_y) = 2k - 2kn(T_y) + (2k + 1)\Psi^k(T_y)$ . Note that this means that  $T$  arises from  $T_x$  and  $T_y$  by adding the edge  $xy$  which joins the leaves  $x$  and  $y$  of  $T_x$  and  $T_y$ , respectively. In addition, we conclude that  $T_x, T_y \in \mathfrak{R}$  by the induction hypothesis. The latter together with the observation before implies that  $T \in \mathfrak{R}$  which completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications (Macmillan. London, 1976).
- [2] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, and S. Klavzar, Handbook of Product Graphs, Second Edition CRC Press ( June 3, 2011).
- [3] M. Lemanska: Lower bound on the domination number of a tree, Discv.ss. Math, Graph Theory **24** (2004), 165-169.
- [4] J.R. Lewis, "Differentials of graphs", Master's Thesis, East Tennessee State University, 2004.
- [5] D.A. Mojdeh, I. Masoumi, On the  $k$ -distance differential of graphs, To appear in TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math..
- [6] P.J. Slater, Enclaveless sets and MK-systems. J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand **82** (1977), 197-202.
- [7] D.B. West, Introduction to Graph theory, Second edition, Prentice Hall, (2001).