

Berezin number inequalities involving superquadratic functions

Mehmet Gürdal¹ and Nazan Duman²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Suleyman Demirel University, 32260, Isparta, Turkey

² Department of Mathematics, Suleyman Demirel University, 32260, Isparta, Turkey

ABSTRACT. We consider superquadratic functions f and define selfadjoint operators $f(A)$ from some selfadjoint operators A on a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(Q)$. We estimate the so-called Berezin number of operator $f(A)$.

Keywords: Convex function, Superquadratic function, Berezin symbol, Berezin number, Positive operator, Selfadjoint operator.

2000 Mathematics subject classification: Primary 47A63; Secondary 26D15.

1. INTRODUCTION

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be superquadratic provided that for all $s \geq 0$ there exists a constant $C_s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(t) \geq f(s) + C_s(t - s) + f(|t - s|), \quad (1.1)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. This notion was introduced by Abramovich, Jameson and Sinnamon in their paper [1].

In this paper we give some inequalities for the Berezin number of some operator classes. Our arguments based on superquadratic functions and

¹Corresponding author: gurdalmehmet@sdu.edu.tr
Received: 24 October 2019
Revised: 26 November 2019
Accepted: 03 December 2019

operators from such functions. For more definition and fact about superquadratic functions and their applications, we refer to Abramovich, Jameson and Sinnamon [1], Agarwal and Dragomir [2] and Furuta, Hot, Pečarić and Seo [12].

Recall that the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(Q)$ (shortly, RKHS) is the Hilbert space of complex-valued functions on some set Q such that the evaluation functional $f \rightarrow f(\lambda)$ is bounded on \mathcal{H} for every $\lambda \in Q$. Then, by Riesz representation theorem there exists a unique vector k_λ in \mathcal{H} such that $f(\lambda) = \langle f, k_\lambda \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The normalized reproducing kernel is defined by $\widehat{k}_\lambda := \frac{k_\lambda}{\|k_\lambda\|_{\mathcal{H}}}$. For a bounded linear operator A acting in \mathcal{H} , its Berezin symbol (see Berezin [6, 7]) is defined by

$$\widetilde{A}(\lambda) := \langle A\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \rangle \quad (\lambda \in Q).$$

Berezin set and Berezin number of operator A is defined respectively by

$$\text{Ber}(A) := \text{Range}(\widetilde{A}) = \left\{ \widetilde{A}(\lambda) : \lambda \in Q \right\}$$

and

$$\text{ber}(A) := \sup_{\lambda \in Q} \left| \widetilde{A}(\lambda) \right|.$$

It is clear from definitions that \widetilde{A} is a bounded function, $\text{Ber}(A)$ lies in the numerical range $W(A)$, and so $\text{ber}(A)$ does not exceed the numerical radius $w(A)$ of operator A . Recall that the numerical range and the numerical radius are defined, respectively, by

$$W(A) := \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \|x\| = 1 \}$$

and

$$w(A) := \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle Ax, x \rangle|.$$

Berezin set and Berezin number of operators are new numerical characteristics of operators on the RKHS which are introduced by Karaev in [16]. For the basic properties and facts on these new concepts, see [3, 4, 5, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

In the present paper we consider superquadratic functions and define continuous functional calculus for some selfadjoint operators, including positive operators, and prove new inequalities for the Berezin number of such operators. Our arguments mainly use ideas of papers [21, 22], while in these papers the estimation of the Berezin number is not considered.

2. SOME FACTS FOR SUPERQUADRATIC FUNCTIONS AND FUNCTIONS OF SELFADJOINT OPERATORS

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and I denote the identity operator. If $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$, we identify $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the matrix algebra \mathcal{M}_n of all $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries. We denote by $S(J)$ the set of all selfadjoint operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ whose spectra lie in an interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, +\infty)$. Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous real function. For $A \in S(J)$, we mean by $f(A)$ the continuous functional calculus at A . Let $A \in S([m, M])$ and $\{E_t\}$ be its spectral family. Then, $f(A)$ can be represented via the well-known spectral representation as

$$f(A) = \int_{m-0}^M f(t) dE_t, \quad (2.1)$$

in which the integral is in terms of the Riemann-Stieltjes integral. If $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, then

$$\langle f(A)x, y \rangle = \int_{m-0}^M f(t) d\langle E_t x, y \rangle.$$

It was shown in [1] that:

Lemma 2.1. *If f is a superquadratic function with C_s as in (2.1), then*

- (i) $f(0) \leq 0$;
- (ii) *If $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ and f is differentiable at s , then $C_s = f'(s)$;*
- (iii) *If $f \geq 0$, then f is convex and $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$.*

Recall that a function $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called convex if and only if

$$f(t\alpha + (1-t)\beta) \leq tf(\alpha) + (1-t)f(\beta),$$

for all points $\alpha, \beta \in J$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Mond and Pečarić [25] showed that if $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then

$$f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \leq \langle f(A)x, x \rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

for all $A \in S(J)$ and all unit vectors $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Regarding the possible refinement of (2.2), Dragomir [9] proved the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and differentiable function on the interior J^0 of J , whose derivative f' is continuous on J^0 . Then*

$$0 \leq \langle f(A)x, x \rangle - f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \leq \langle f'(A)Ax, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle \langle f'(A)x, x \rangle,$$

for every $A \in S(J)$ and every unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Recall that a linear map is defined to be $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ which preserves additivity and homogeneity, i.e., $\Phi(\alpha A + \beta B) = \alpha\Phi(A) + \beta\Phi(B)$, for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that the linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is positive if it preserves the operator order, that is, if $A \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ then $\Phi(A) \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{K})$. Here $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the convex cone of all positive operators on \mathcal{H} .

Obviously, a positive linear map Φ preserves the order relation, namely, $A \leq B \Rightarrow \Phi(A) \leq \Phi(B)$ and preserves the adjoint operation $\Phi(A^*) = \Phi(A)^*$. Moreover, Φ is said to be normalized (unital) if it preserves the identity operator, i.e., $\Phi(I_{\mathcal{H}}) = I_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Recall also that a bounded linear operator A on \mathcal{H} is selfadjoint (i.e., $A^* = A$) if and only if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For two selfadjoint operators $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we write $A \leq B$ if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle$, for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

In [24], the authors proved the following similar inequality to (2.2) for positive linear mappings.

Lemma 2.3. *If $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function with $f(0) \leq 0$ and A is a Hermitian matrix, then for every vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$ and every positive linear map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ with $0 \leq \Phi(I) \leq I$, the inequality*

$$f(\langle \Phi(A)x, x \rangle) \leq \langle \Phi(f(A))x, x \rangle \quad (2.3)$$

holds true.

Kian [21] proved a Jensen operator inequality for superquadratic functions.

Lemma 2.4. ([21]) *If $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous superquadratic function, then*

$$f(\langle Ax, x \rangle) \leq \langle f(A)x, x \rangle - \langle f(|A - \langle Ax, x \rangle|)x, x \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

for any positive operator A and any unit vector $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

This inequality improves (2.2) for some convex functions.

3. THE BEREZIN NUMBER INEQUALITIES

In this section, we prove some operator inequalities in order to estimate Berezin number of some operators on the RKHS $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(Q)$.

Theorem 3.1. *If $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonnegative continuous superquadratic function, then*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in Q} f(\tilde{A}(\lambda)) \leq \text{ber}(f(A)),$$

for any positive operator $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(Q))$.

Proof. Let $A \geq 0$, i.e., $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. For each $s \geq 0$ it follows from (2.1) that

$$f(A) \geq f(s)I + C_s A - C_s sI + f(|A - sI|).$$

So, for every $\lambda \in Q$ we have that

$$\left\langle f(A) \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \geq f(s) + C_s \left\langle A \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle - C_s s + \left\langle f(|A - sI|) \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Applying (3.1) with $s = \left\langle A \widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle$ and to use that $f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{A}(\lambda) I_{\mathcal{H}}\right|\right) \geq 0$, we get

$$f\left(\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\right) \leq \widetilde{f(A)}(\lambda) - f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{A}(\lambda) I\right|\right)(\lambda) \leq \widetilde{f(A)}(\lambda) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $\lambda \in Q$. Taking module and supremum from the both side of the inequality, we have that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in Q} f\left(\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\right) \leq \text{ber}(f(A))$$

as desired. \square

We need some auxiliary lemmas to prove our next result (see [11, 22]).

Lemma 3.2. *Every unital positive map on a commutative C^* -algebra is completely positive.*

Theorem 3.3. ([8]) *Let Φ be a unital completely positive linear map from a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ into $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then, there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} , an isometry $V : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism π from \mathcal{A} into the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\Phi(A) = V^* \pi(A) V$.*

Our next result extends (2.2) for superquadratic functions.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous superquadratic function and let $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be a unital positive linear map. Then,*

$$f\left(\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right) \leq \widetilde{\Phi(f(A))}(\lambda) - \left(\Phi\left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda) I_n\right|\right)\right)\right) \widetilde{}(\lambda), \quad (3.3)$$

for every positive matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and every $\lambda \in Q$.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be positive. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the C^* -subalgebra generated by A and I . We may assume without loss of generality that Φ is defined on \mathcal{A} . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that Φ is completely positive. Hence, by Theorem 3.3, there exists a RKHS Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(\Omega)$, an isometry $V : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ and a unital $*$ -homomorphism π from \mathcal{A} into the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $\Phi(A) =$

$V^*\pi(A)V$. Obviously, $f(\pi(A)) = \pi(f(A))$. Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, it is easy to see that

$$f(|\pi(A - \alpha I)|) = \pi(f(|A - \alpha I|)). \quad (3.4)$$

Since $\|V\widehat{k}_\lambda\| = 1$, for all $\lambda \in Q$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left\langle \Phi(A)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle\right) &= f\left(\left\langle V^*\pi(A)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle\right) = f\left(\left\langle \pi(A)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, V\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle\right) \\ &\leq \left\langle f(\pi(A))V\widehat{k}_\lambda, V\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle - \\ &\quad - \left\langle f\left(\left|\pi(A) - \left\langle \pi(A)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle\right|\right)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, V\widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.3}) \\ &= \left\langle f(\pi(A))V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle - \\ &\quad - \left\langle \pi\left(f\left(\left|A - \left\langle \pi(A)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle\right|\right)\right)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \quad (\text{By (3.4)}) \\ &= \left\langle V^*\pi(f(A))V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle - \\ &\quad - \left\langle V^*\pi\left(f\left(\left|A - V^*\pi(A)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right|\right)\right)V\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \Phi(f(A))\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle - \\ &\quad - \left\langle \Phi\left(f\left(\left|A - \left\langle \Phi(A)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle I\right|\right)\right)\widehat{k}_\lambda, \widehat{k}_\lambda \right\rangle \\ &= \widetilde{\Phi(f(A))}(\lambda) - \left(\widetilde{\Phi\left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right|\right)\right)}\right)(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$f\left(\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right) \leq \widetilde{\Phi(f(A))}(\lambda) - \left(\widetilde{\Phi\left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right|\right)\right)}\right)(\lambda)$$

which proves (3.3). \square

Corollary 3.5. *If f is non-negative, then*

$$\sup_{\lambda \in Q} f\left(\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right) \leq \text{ber}(\Phi(f(A))).$$

4. SOME REVERSE INEQUALITIES

In this section, we give some reverse inequalities for Berezin symbols and Berezin number.

Lemma 2.1 can be improved for non-negative superquadratic functions. First, we prove a reverse inequality for (3.3).

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a differentiable superquadratic function whose derivative f' is continuous. If $\Phi : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ is*

a unital positive linear map, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \widetilde{\Phi(f(A))}(\lambda) - f\left(\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right) \\ &\leq \widetilde{\Phi(f'(A)A)}(\lambda) - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\widetilde{\Phi(f'(A))}(\lambda) - \\ &\quad - \left(\widetilde{\Phi\left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)I\right|\right)\right)}\right)(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

for every positive matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and every $\lambda \in Q$; here and in what follows, Q is the set over which \mathbb{C}^n is the RKHS, i.e., $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^n(\Omega)$ as the RKHS.

Proof. Let $s \geq 0$ be an arbitrary fixed number. Since f is superquadratic, there is $C_s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f(t) \geq f(s) + C_s(t-s) + f(|t-s|), \quad (4.1)$$

for every $t \geq 0$. As $f \geq 0$, it follows from Lemma C of the paper [22] that f is convex and $C_s = f'(s)$. So, the first inequality follows from (2.3) by putting $x = \widehat{k}_{\lambda,n}$, where $\widehat{k}_{\lambda,n}$ is the normalized reproducing kernel of the space \mathbb{C}^n . Now, let $\widehat{k}_{\lambda,m}$ denote the normalized reproducing kernel of the space \mathbb{C}^m . Assume now that $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $x = \widehat{k}_{\lambda,m}$ and $A \geq 0$. Using the functional calculus for (4.1) with $s = A$ and $t = \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)$, we obtain

$$f\left(\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right) \geq f(A) + f'(A)\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda) - f'(A)A + f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)I_n\right|\right).$$

Applying the positive linear map Φ to both sides of the last inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)\right) &\geq \Phi(f(A)) + \Phi(f'(A))\widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda) - \Phi(f'(A)A) + \\ &\quad + \Phi\left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{\Phi(A)}(\lambda)I_n\right|\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives us the desired result. \square

For the case $\Phi(A) = A$, the last theorem gives an improvement of Lemma 2.1. Namely, let f be as in Theorem 3.4. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \widetilde{f(A)}(\lambda) - f\left(\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\right) \\ &\leq \widetilde{f'(A)A}(\lambda) - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{f'(A)}(\lambda) - \left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)I_n\right|\right)\right)(\lambda), \quad (4.2) \end{aligned}$$

for every positive operator A and all $\lambda \in Q$.

Since $\left(f\left(\left|A - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)I_n\right|\right)\right)(\lambda) \geq 0$, for all $\lambda \in Q$, an immediate corollary of (4.2) is the following.

Corollary 4.2. *We have :*

- (i) $\text{ber}(f(A)) \geq \sup_{\lambda \in Q} \left(f \left(\widetilde{A}(\lambda) \right) \right)$;
(ii) $\text{ber}(f(A)) \leq \sup_{\lambda \in Q} \left(f \left(\widetilde{A}(\lambda) \right) \right) + \text{ber}(f'(A)A) + \text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(f'(A))$
 $\leq f(\text{ber}(A)) + \text{ber}(f'(A)A) + \text{ber}(A)\text{ber}(f'(A))$,

since $\widetilde{A}(\lambda) \leq \text{ber}(A)$ for all λ and every non-negative superquadratic function is non-decreasing.

Example 4.3. If $r \geq 2$, then $f(t) = t^r$ is a non-negative superquadratic function on $[0, \infty)$. If $A \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in Q$, then applying Corollary 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \widetilde{A}^r(\lambda) - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)^r \\ &\leq r\widetilde{A}^r(\lambda) - r\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{A}^{r-1} - \left(\left| A - \widetilde{A}(\lambda)I_n \right|^r \right) \widetilde{A}(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

This implies, in particular, that :

- (i) $\text{ber}(A)^r \leq \text{ber}(A^r)$
(ii) $r \left[\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{A}^{r-1}(\lambda) - \widetilde{A}^r(\lambda) \right] \leq \widetilde{A}(\lambda)^r + (r-1)\widetilde{A}^r(\lambda)$, which implies that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in Q} \left[\widetilde{A}(\lambda)\widetilde{A}^{r-1}(\lambda) - \widetilde{A}^r(\lambda) \right] \leq \frac{\text{ber}(A)^r}{r} + \frac{r-1}{r}\text{ber}(A^r).$$

It is necessary to note that, in general, the Berezin symbol is not multiplicative, i.e., $\widetilde{AB} \neq \widetilde{A}\widetilde{B}$ (see Kılıç [23]).

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abromovich, G. Jameson, and G. Sinnamon, Refining Jensen's inequality, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)*, **47**(2004), 3-14.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal, and S. S. Dragomir, A survey of Jensen type inequalities for functions on selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **59** (2010), 3785-3812.
- [3] M. Bakherad, Some Berezin number inequalities for operator matrices, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **68** (2018), 997-1009.
- [4] M. Bakherad, and M. T. Garayev, Berezin number inequalities for operators, *Concr. Oper.*, **6** (2019), 33-43.
- [5] H. Başaran, M. Gürdal, and A. N. Güncan, Some operator inequalities associated with Kantorovich and Hölder-McCarthy inequalities and their applications, *Turkish J. Math.*, **43** (2019), 523-532.
- [6] F. A. Berezin, Covariant and contravariant symbols for operators, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **6** (1972), 1117-1151.
- [7] F. A. Berezin, Quantization, *Math. USSR-Izv.*, **8** (1974), 1109-1163.
- [8] R. Bhatia, Positive Definite Matrices, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Some reverses of the Jensen inequality for functions of self-adjoint operators in Hilbert spaces, *RGMA. Res. Rep. Coll.*, **11** (2008), Preprint, Art 7.

- [10] M. Engliš, Toeplitz operators and the Berezin transform on H^2 , *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **223/224** (1995), 171-204.
- [11] S. W. Forrest, Positive functions on C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **6** (1955), 211-216.
- [12] T. Furuta, J. M. Hot, J. Pečarić, and Y. Seo, Mond-Pecaric Method in Operator Inequalities, Zagreb Element, 2005.
- [13] M. T. Garayev, M. Gürdal, and M. B. Huban, Reproducing kernels, Engliš algebras and some applications, *Studia Math.*, **232** (2016), 113-141.
- [14] M. T. Garayev, M. Gürdal, and A. Okudan, Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and power inequalities for Berezin numbers of operators, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **19** (2016), 883-891.
- [15] M. T. Garayev, M. Gürdal, and S. Saltan, Hardy type inequality for reproducing kernel Hilbert space operators and related problems, *Positivity*, **21** (2017), 1615-1623.
- [16] M. T. Karaev, Berezin set and Berezin number of operators and their applications. In: 8th Workshop on Numerical Ranges and Numerical Radii, WONRA 06. Bremen, Germany: University of Bremen, 2006.
- [17] M. T. Karaev, Reproducing kernels and Berezin symbols techniques in various questions of operator theory, *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, **7** (2013), 983-1018.
- [18] M. T. Karaev, M. Gürdal, and U. Yamancı, Some results related with Berezin symbols and Toeplitz operators, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **17** (2017), 1031-1045.
- [19] M. T. Karaev, and N. S. Iskenderov, Berezin number of operators and related questions, *Methods Funct. Anal. Topology*, **19** (2013), 68-72.
- [20] M. T. Karaev, Use of reproducing kernels and Berezin symbols technique in some questions of operator theory, *Forum Math.*, **24** (2012), 553-564.
- [21] M. Kian, Operator Jensen inequality for superquadratic functions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **456** (2014), 82-87.
- [22] M. Kian, and S. S. Dragomir, Inequalities involving superquadratic functions and operators, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, **11** (2014), 1205-1214.
- [23] S. Kılıç, The Berezin symbol and multipliers of functional Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **123** (1995), 3687-3691.
- [24] J. S. Matharua, M. S. Moslehian, and J. S. Aujla, Eigenvalue extensions of Bohr's inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **435** (2011), 270-276.
- [25] B. Mond, and J. Pečarić, Convex inequalities in Hilbert spaces, *Houston J. Math.*, **19** (1993), 405-420.
- [26] E. Nordgen, and P. Rosenthal, Boundary values of Berezin symbols, *Oper. Theory: Advances and Applications*, **73** (1994), 362-368.
- [27] S. Saltan, Description of invariant subspaces in terms of Berezin symbols, *Turkish J. Math.*, **42** (2018), 2926-2934.
- [28] U. Yamancı, M. T. Garayev, and C. Çelik, Hardy-Hilbert type inequality in reproducing kernel Hilbert space: its applications and related results, *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, **67** (2019), 830-842.
- [29] U. Yamancı, and M. Gürdal, On numerical radius and Berezin number inequalities for reproducing kernel Hilbert space, *New York J. Math.*, **23** (2017), 1531-1537.
- [30] U. Yamancı, M. Gürdal, and M. T. Garayev, Berezin Number Inequality for Convex Function in Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space, *Filomat*, **31** (2017), 5711-5717.

- [31] U. Yamancı, and M. Garayev, Some results related to the Berezin number inequalities, *Turkish J. Math.*, **43** (2019), 1940-1952.